## **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	( 15 out of 15 points )	_
Academic Growth	Meets	70.8%	( 24.8 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	77.1%	( 11.6 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	( 35 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		86.4%	( 86.4 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





\*\*\* Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08

Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year\*\*\*) **Performance Indicators** 

School: D'EVELYN JUNIOR/SENIOR HI
-----------------------------------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1172	92.2%	96	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1173	91.5%	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	1172	87.3%	96	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	578	81.0%	95	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1106	33	11	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1107	54	27	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1106	37	17	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	40	35	18	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	146	33	11	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4	'	Meets	44	54	24	Yes
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	39	46	No
Mathematics	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	'	Exceeds	40	61	55	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	146	58	29	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4	·	Exceeds	44	63	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	79	79	83	No
Writing	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	_	Does Not Meet	40	26	27	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	146	39	17	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	44	44	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	40	67	No
Total	30	48	62.5%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	1174	1175	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	1175	1175	
Writing	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	1174	1175	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	579	579	

Performance Indicators	Level: High School
School: D'EVELYN JUNIOR/SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	(3 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	990	97.6%	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	990	83.8%	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	990	89.5%	98	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	472	91.5%	99	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	981	58	3	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	982	63	14	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	981	54	8	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	38	68	7	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	138	59	3	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	24	71	10	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	49	64	45	Yes
Mathematics	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	38	53	22	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	138	64	13	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	24	55	44	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	108	74	91	No
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	38	65	17	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	138	50	8	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	24	60	34	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	110	67	64	Yes
Total	44	48	91.7%	Exceeds				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	432	98.6%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	2057	0.1%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	448	26.6	At/above State average
Total	12	12	100.0%				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	992	993	
Mathematics	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	992	993	
Writing	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	992	993	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	472	472	
Colorado ACT	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	448	450	-

Scoring Guide

Level: All Levels

rformance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
rjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kating	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Poli
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools.      below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2	content area)	15
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1	content area;	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile w		ч -		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 45.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee		(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile		_	content area)	
G. G. 1. 1. 1.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	content area,	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentil				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee		(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth p		group in 3 content	15	
•	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	<u> </u>			
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	<u> </u>		12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		•		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		1

Cut-Points for each performance indicator								
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.								
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds						
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets						
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching						
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet						

Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 60%	Performance					
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement					
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	<b>Priority Improvement</b>					
	• below 33%	Turnaround					

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
<b>Priority Improvement Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## **Comparison Data**

### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	<u> </u>						7 00 0 1					
	Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.