School Performance Framework 2010

School: MONUMENT CHARTER ACADEMY - 5093

Level: Elementary School

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (3 Year***)

Performance	P	lan
1 CHIOTHIANCE		

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	-
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		78.1%	(78.1 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ifficient numbers o	of students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

COE Improving Academic Achievemen

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: MONUMENT		DEMY - 5093						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	685	90.2%	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	685	91.5%	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	685	80.4%	94	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	225	84.4%	97	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	411	49	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	413	53	30	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	413	58	26	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	51	43	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	32	48	53	No
Mathematics	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	51	58	44	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	39	67	No
Writing	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	51	64	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	79	56	55	Yes
Total	15	24	62.5%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	697	699	
Mathematics	99.9%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	698	699	
Writing	99.7%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	698	700	
Science	99.6%			95% Participatio		231	232	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo				
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin				
erjormance mail	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			Kuting	Foint Vulue		FIGHTEWORK FOIL				
		• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.										
Academic		below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.										
Achievement		•		Meets Approaching	3	(4 for each content area)	25					
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 			Does Not Meet	1							
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its medic	an student arowth percent	tile was:								
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident growth percentile and i	its median student growth	n percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.	· · · · ·	-	-	Exceeds	4						
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perc	centile was:								
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3						
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup					
Growth Gaps	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perc	entile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)					
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3						
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligibl	e.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement				
Ī	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemer				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround				
hool plan type a	assignments											
	Plan description											
erformance Plan		a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total				
provement Pla			five consecutive years be				•					
iority Improven	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sur	nmer immed	liately following the i	all in which the				
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it i	s required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan.					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math				Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Scor								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

School Performance Framework 2010

School: MONUMENT CHARTER ACADEMY - 5093

Level: Middle School

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	-
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.8%	(17.7 out of 25 points)	
Fest Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		84.4%	(84.4 out of 100 points)	
Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ifficient numbers o	of students. In these cases, the points are rer	noved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

COE Improving Academic Achievemen

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato							Level	: Middle Schoo
School: MONUMENT	CHARTER ACA	DEMY - 5093						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	390	91.3%	95	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	390	87.7%	97	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	390	89.7%	97	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	105	80.0%	95	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Anndomia Crowth	Deinte Formed	Dointo Flinible	% Deinte	Dation	N /	Mading Crowth Demonstile	Madium Adamusta Crowth Demonstile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u>N</u>	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	349	49	13	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	349	56	36	Yes
Writing	4	4	00.00(Exceeds	349	61	22	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Acadomic Crowth Cano	Dointe Formod	Dointe Elizible	% Deinte	Datina	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	<u> </u>	Percentile	Percentile	Growing
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	46	45	19	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	27	41	44	No
Mathematics	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	46	58	53	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	30	81	82	No
Writing	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	46	59	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	35	51	68	No
Total	17	24	70.8%	Meets				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participa	tion Rate Met	397	397	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participa	tion Rate Met	397	397	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participa	tion Rate Met	397	397	
Science	100.0%			95% Participa	tion Rate Met	106	106	

coring Guide							Level	Middle Schoo				
coring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report										
erformance India	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:										
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16					
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50		Meets	3	(4 for each	25						
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th 	Approaching	2	content area)								
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 	below the 15th percentile of all schools.										
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: • at or above 60. Exceeds 4										
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.										
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 60 but at or above 45.										
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 45 but at or above 30.										
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its	s median student growth	n percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.											
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.											
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	• below 40. Does Not Meet 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.										
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 60 but at or above 45.										
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 			Approaching	2	60						
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup						
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth perce	ntile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25				
	• at or above 70.	• at or above 70.										
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for p	lan type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%											
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framewor	k • at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%											
	• below 37.5%											
chool plan type a												
	Plan description											
erformance Plan		Performance Plan.	school may not implen	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total of				
nprovement Plai			ive consecutive years be	, ,			0					
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a				•							
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a						or Turnaround Plan.					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.