Level: Elementary School

School: HOPE ON-LINE - 3995 District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year***)

Turnaround Plan	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Ear	rned out of Points Eligible*	
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.	Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(12.5 out of 50 points)	
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned					
Performance at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%					
Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
Turnaround below 37%					
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.	TOTAL		25.1%	(25.1 out of 100 points)	

For schools with data on all indicators, the total schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so points possible are: 25 points for Academic scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Level: Elementary School
School: HOPF ON-LINF - 3995	(1 Year***)

School: HOPE ON-LI	NE - 3995							(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Farned	Points Fliaible	% Points	Ratina	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	483	39.5%	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	481	35.6%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	481	19.3%	3	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	17.3%	12	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	291	37	54	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	291	30	72	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	288	30	72	No
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	177	37	55	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	231	36	56	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	37	57	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	179	37	65	No
Mathematics	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	176	29	73	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	231	28	73	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	27	71	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	196	30	80	No
Writing	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	28	75	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	228	30	73	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	28	73	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	231	29	78	No
Total	12	48	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	511	512	
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	511	512	
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	512	513	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	160	160	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo		
oring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fra	nework Report								
erformance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point		
•	The school's percentage of students scoring proficie	nt or advanced was:				•				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. Me								
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1	5th percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	below the 15th percentile of all schools. Does Not Me								
	If the school meets the median adequate student g	owth percentile and its media	n student growth percent	ile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and it	ts median student growth	percentile was:		•	content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	1			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1			
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile an	nd its student growth perc							
	• at or above 60.	· ·	· ·		Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	60 (5 for each subgroup			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median of	adequate student growth perc	entile and its student grov	wth percentile was:		,	group in 3 content	25		
·	• at or above 70.		-		Exceeds	4	areas)			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	ĺ			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The school	earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligible	٥.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 59%			рошоси дин	Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework		helow 59%			Improvement		
Crommin, Culps	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% -			Pri	ority Improvement		
-	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet	1 5	• below 37%	20.011 1770			Turnaround		
chool plan type a				20.0 0.70						
.noor plan type a	Plan description									
erformance Plan		a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	ement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total of		
nprovement Plan			five consecutive years be		-		•			
•	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	•	consecutive school years		•					
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		school is notified that it is					** ****************************		

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading				Math	h Writing				Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading				Math	Writing				Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

· , , .	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
	it of Students	Mean Bropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year***)

Level: High School

School: HOPE ON-LINE - 3995

Priority Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(14.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	40.0%	(6 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind		33.2%	(33.2 out of 100 points)	

scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates,





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators

Level: High School

School: HOPE ON-LINE - 3995		(1 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	414	33.1%	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	413	5.1%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	412	9.5%	1	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	181	18.8%	6	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	157	50	74	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	158	41	99	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	156	36	97	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	75	50	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	93	47	80	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	51	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	33	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	99	48	90	No
Mathematics	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	36	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	94	40	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	41	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	42	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	143	41	99	No
Writing	6	20	30.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	34	97	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	34	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	43	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	33	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	126	38	98	No
Total	24	60	40.0%	Approaching				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	268	56.3%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	2490	10.8%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	132	15.7%	At/above State average
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	474	485	
Mathematics	98.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	474	483	
Writing	97.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	470	484	
Science	97.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	198	203	
Colorado ACT	85.7%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	132	154	

Scoring Guide Level: High School

Scoring Guide for Perfo	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	•	•		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:				
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
Cut-Points for each per	formance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets			
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			

Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.				
	• at or above 60%	Performance			
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 33%	Turnaround			

School plan type assignments				
	Plan description			
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.			

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading			Math		Writing		Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

· , , .	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
	it of Students	Mean Bropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year***)

Level: Middle School

School: HOPE ON-LINE - 3995 Dist

Turn	aroun	d Plan	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

TOTAL

* Schools may not be eligible for all scores are not negatively impacted.
** Schools do not receive points for points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points E	arned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(16.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	31.7%	(7.9 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		30.9%	(30.9 out of 100 points)	_

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (1 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School:	HOPE	ON-LINE	- 3995
---------	------	---------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	446	37.0%	3	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	445	15.1%	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	446	21.5%	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	153	5.2%	1	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	381	43	61	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	382	33	96	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	380	39	86	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				0.01.01.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	244	41	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	309	40	62	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	39	80	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	132	45	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	253	40	73	No
Mathematics	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	246	29	97	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	310	32	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	26	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	132	36	96	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	302	32	98	No
Writing	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	243	37	87	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	308	37	86	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	26	96	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	131	39	88	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	280	39	91	No
Total	19	60	31.7%	Does Not Meet				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	486	488	
Mathematics	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	485	487	
Writing	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	487	489	
Science	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	167	168	

coring Guide							Level:	: Middle Scho
oring Guide for Perf	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
erformance Indicato	or Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	n percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	Approaching	2	content area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Meet	t 1					
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	1						
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4	_			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3]	
	below 45 but at or above 30.					2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	• below 30. Does Not Meet 1						
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud		content area)					
	• at or above 70.					4]	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching Does Not Meet	2 t 1		
	• below 40.							
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate st	4						
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	1
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	quate student growth percenti	le and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1	<u> </u>	
	erformance indicator			n type assignment				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th					the total Fra	mework points eligib	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 59%					Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework				Improvement	
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	riority Improveme
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround
hool plan type assig	gnments							
	Plan description							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

Mean Dropout Rate
3.6
 3.9
116,953 ,238,096

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.