Level: Elementary School

School: NORTH STAR ACADEMY - 1579 District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year***)

	Performance Plan	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points I	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.		Academic Achievement	Exceeds	93.8%	(23.5 out of 25 points)	
		A and ami's Cunwith	Exceeds	100.0%	(50 out of 50 points)	
	Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned					
	Performance at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	95.8%	(24 out of 25 points)	
	Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%					
	Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
•	Turnaround below 37%					
	Framework points are calculated using the	TOTAL		97.5%	(97.5 out of 100 points)]

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and points possible are: 25 points for Academic the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School

School: NORTH STAR ACADEMY - 1579	(1 Year***)
-----------------------------------	-------------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	244	89.8%	90	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	244	89.8%	90	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	244	81.6%	94	
Science	3	4		Meets	48	64.6%	76	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	145	62	21	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	145	68	44	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	145	66	31	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

				_	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	24	53	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	73	56	Yes
Mathematics	8	8	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	·	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	24	61	51	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0	·	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	68	65	Yes
Writing	8	8	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	24	69	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	41	65	60	Yes
Total	23	24	95.8%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	244	245	
Mathematics	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	244	245	
Writing	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	244	245	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	48	48	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	nentary Schoo			
coring Guide for P	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report									
Performance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien										
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16							
Academic		below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.									
Achievement	·	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.									
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	below the 15th percentile of all schools.									
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr										
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.									
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3							
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 45 but at or above 30.									
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	•		content area)	1						
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4							
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3								
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1							
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile and	l its student growth perce	entile was:							
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4							
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	60 (5 for each subgroup	25						
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2								
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1								
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	•		group in 3 content							
	• at or above 70.	• at or above 70.									
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1					
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment							
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	ol earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	<u>.</u>			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					Turnaround				
chool plan type as	signments										
	Plan description										
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total			
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		ive consecutive years bet		-		•				
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	·	•		•						
riority improveme	File Flaming School is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan. K	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sun	nmer immed	lately following the f	all ill willcii tile			

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

		Reading	-		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Elem Middle High		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							7 00 0 1						
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Elem Middle High		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

School: NORTH STAR ACADEMY - 1579 District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year***)

Performance Plan	
------------------	--

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

TOTAL

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. ** Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

TOTAL		94.4%	(70.8 out of 75 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	100.0%	(50 out of 50 points)	
Academic Achievement	Meets	83.3%	(20.8 out of 25 points)	
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (1 Year***) Performance Indicators

School:	NORTH STA	R ACADEMY	- 1579
---------	-----------	-----------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	73	86.3%	85	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	73	78.1%	91	
Writing	3	4		Meets	73	76.7%	85	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	73	61	18	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	73	75	49	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	73	60	37	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0	-	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	73	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	73	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	73	
Science		N/A	0	0	

oring Guide	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	Surgel Banaut						Middle Scho		
	ator Scoring Guide	еworк керогт			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin		
jornance maic	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			Kuting	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Framework Poin		
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	or advanced was.			Exceeds	T 4	16			
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th 	h percentile of all schools			Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement		· ·			Approaching	2	content area)	25		
Acmevement	below the 35th percentile but at or above the 15th below the 15th percentile of all schools.	in percentile of all schools.			Does Not Mee	.	content area)			
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth nercentile and its median st	udent arowth nercentil	e mac.	Does Not Mee	ч -				
	• at or above 60.	ven percentile una les mealan se	adent growth percentil	c was.	Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	+	(4 for each	50				
Growth	111	f the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:								
G.O.T.	• at or above 70.	ent growin percentile and its in	icaian staacht growth p	sercentile was.	Exceeds	1 4	content area)			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.									
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and it	s student arowth perce	ntile was:	Does Not Mee	t 1				
	• at or above 60.		o out the contract of the cont		Exceeds	T 4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	-			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	,		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth percenti	le and its student grow	th percentile was:	•		group in 3 content			
·	• at or above 70.			•	Exceeds	4	areas)			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	,			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
-Points for each	n performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment	'					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligit	ole.		
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%			,	Performance		
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement		
, , <u>,</u>	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%						Pr	iority Improveme		
	• below 37.5%									
ool plan type a								Turnaround		
co-pien cype a	Plan description									
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a				.,		Plan for longer than a			

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading Math				Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		<u> </u>							<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.