School Performance Framework 2010

School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9739

Level: All Levels

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Per	formance	P	lan
r ei	ionnance		an

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.



SCHOOLVIEW. org

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Does Not Meet	34.4%	(8.6 out of 25 points)	
Meets	70.8%	(35.4 out of 50 points)	
Meets	70.8%	(17.7 out of 25 points)	
5% Participation Rate Met			
	61.7%	(61.7 out of 100 points)	
	Does Not Meet Meets Meets	Does Not Meet34.4%Meets70.8%Meets70.8%S% Participation Rate Met	Does Not Meet34.4%(8.6 out of 25 points)Meets70.8%(35.4 out of 50 points)Meets70.8%(17.7 out of 25 points)5% Participation Rate Met34.4%

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicate							Level: Ele	mentary Scho
School: WYATT-EDIS	ON CHARTER E	LEMENTARY S	CHOOL					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	234	47.0%	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	234	46.2%	12	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	234	27.4%	10	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	80	15.0%	10	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	153	48	45	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	154	44	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	153	46	60	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	137	48	45	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	150	48	46	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	83	48	52	No
Students needing to catch up) 3	4		Meets	83	56	62	No
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	138	42	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	151	43	65	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	84	45	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	72	45	82	No
Writing	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	137	43	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	150	45	61	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	83	45	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	119	46	67	No
Total	27	48	56.3%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		236	236	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		236	236	
Writing	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		236	236	
Science	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		80	80	

Performance Indicat							Level	Middle Scho
School: WYATT-EDIS	SON CHARTER E	LEMENTARY S	CHOOL					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	170	54.1%	17	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	169	37.3%	24	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	170	37.1%	16	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	10.9%	3	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	163	71	52	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	162	78	89	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	163	53	78	No
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	4	1001070	Exceeds	148	72	52	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	162	72	53	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	86	72	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up		4		Exceeds	95	71	70	Yes
Nathematics	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	147	77	88	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	161	78	89	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	-
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	86	76	90	No
Students needing to catch up	b 4	4		Exceeds	114	80	96	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	148	54	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	162	53	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	86	51	81	No
Students needing to catch up	b 3	4		Meets	102	55	87	No
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		171	171	
Mathematics	99.4%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		170	171	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		171	171	
Science	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		55	55	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	16						
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	h percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t 	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	% - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround
School plan type a	assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef					
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•					
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.