District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Level: All Levels

School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHOOL - 6350

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	64.8%	(9.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		54.3%	(54.3 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

1

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators

School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHOOL

(1 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	379	28.2%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	382	20.7%	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	377	15.1%	1	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	9.6%	2	
Total	1	16	3E 00/	Doos Not Moot				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	360	55	66	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	363	52	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	360	47	88	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	335	55	66	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	353	55	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	46	91	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	270	56	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	275	54	75	No
Mathematics	11	20	55.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	338	52	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	356	53	94	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	45	51	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	271	52	94	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	272	55	97	No
Writing	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	335	46	88	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	353	48	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	43	54	98	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	269	49	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	281	50	91	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	418	422	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	421	423	
Writing	98.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	416	422	
Science	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	160	161	

Performance Indicators Level: High School

School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHOOL

(1 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	211	44.1%	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	212	12.7%	9	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	211	15.2%	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	14.0%	4	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	202	63	72	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	204	65	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	203	61	96	No
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	189	63	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	200	63	73	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	160	64	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	138	66	88	No
Mathematics	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	191	65	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	202	65	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	161	64	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	173	67	99	No
Writing	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	190	62	96	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	201	61	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	161	65	98	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	167	62	98	No
Total	36	48	75.0%	Meets				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate							80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	432	1.2%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	85	14.4	At/above State average
Total	4	8	50.0%	Approaching			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	221	221	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	222	222	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	221	221	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	97	97	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	85	85	

Scoring Guide

Level: All Levels

erformance Indicator	rmance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
erjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kaung	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Poli
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools. below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approach		content area)	15
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not N	~	Content area)	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student (leet 1		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.	Approach		12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N	<u> </u>	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median		-	content area)	
G. G. H. H.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	,	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approach			
	• below 40.	Does Not N			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approach	-	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N		(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and	group in 3 content	15		
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approach	ng 2		
	• below 40.	Does Not N			
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approach	ng 2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not N			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approach	ng 2	,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not N			
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		_		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approach		1	
	• at or below 17.	Does Not N	0	1	
t-Points for each per		Cut-Points for plan type assignment			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.							
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds					
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for plan type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.							
	• at or above 60%	Performance						
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement						
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement						
	• below 33%	Turnaround						

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	7 00 0 1											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.