Level: Middle School

School: MOREY MIDDLE SCHOOL - 6098 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan	
------------------	--

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

*Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. **Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		66.8%	(66.8 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School:	MOREY	MIDDLE	SCHOOL -	- 6098
---------	-------	--------	----------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	2240	74.9%	58	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2240	58.7%	67	
Writing	3	4		Meets	2240	64.0%	63	
Science	3	4		Meets	788	50.5%	54	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2171	51	20	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2171	44	54	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2170	52	42	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Percentile Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	952	46	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1317	48	33	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4	'	Meets	192	50	50	Yes
English Language Learners	3	4	'	Meets	384	54	38	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	522	49	65	No
Mathematics	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	953	40	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1320	41	68	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	193	45	91	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	384	45	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	744	44	93	No
Writing	11	20	55.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	951	48	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1318	49	55	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	192	53	81	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	384	55	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	719	54	80	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	2315	2321	
Mathematics	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	2317	2323	
Writing	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	2315	2322	
Science	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	813	815	

oring Guide							Level:	Middle Scho				
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						-				
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			Exceeds	1 4	16					
	· ·	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.										
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	_ '		Meets	3	(4 for each	25					
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)					
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentil	e was:		· ·						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its m	edian student growth រុ	percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4						
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	student growth perce	ntile was:								
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	,				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:		•	group in 3 content	25				
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment								
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.				
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 59%	ó			Performance				
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or abo										
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				iority Improveme Turnaround				
ool plan type a	ssignments											
	Plan description											
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	hool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	rement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total				
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	Improvement Plan. five	consecutive years befo	ore the District or In	stitute is reaui	red to restru	cture or close the sch	ool. The five				

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading Math				Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.