School Performance Framework 2010

School: KIPP DENVER COLLEGIATE HIGH SCHOOL - 4730

Level: High School

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan AssignmentFramework Points EarnedPerformanceat or above 60%Improvementat or above 47% - below 60%Priority Improvementat or above 33% - below 47%Turnaroundbelow 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	41.7%	(6.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness			(out of points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		50.0%	(32.5 out of 65 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an in- scores are not negatively impacted. ** Schools do not receive points for test participation. How				

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

COE Improving Academic Achievement

SCHOOLVIEW.org

*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Leve	I: High Scho
School: KIPP DENVER COLLEGI			% Deinte	Datian		% Droficiant (Advanced	School's Percentile	(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced		
Reading	2	4		Approaching	119	57.1%	19	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	120	25.0%	37	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	26.9%	12	
Science	0	0	44 70/	N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	109	57	55	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	39	95	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	108	46	81	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	93	57	55	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	105	56	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	84	63	63	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	59	66	83	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	35	95	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	105	40	95	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	84	41	96	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	42	99	No
Writing	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	92	41	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	104	43	84	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	83	41	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	65	50	95	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate		5.0		<u> </u>			80%	
Dropout Rate							At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite							At/above State average	
Total	0	0					<i>,,</i>	
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.2%			95% Participation	Rate Met	121	122	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation		122	122	
Writing	99.2%			95% Participation		122	122	
Science	55.270			N/A	nate met	0	0	
						5	5	

rformance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
rjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			кашту	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Pol
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	or davanced was.			Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th 	h percentile of all schools			Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th 	Approaching	2	content area)	15			
Admevement	 below the 56th percentile of all schools. 	t 1	content area					
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	wth percentile and its median st	Ident arowth percentil	was.	Does Not Mee	<u>ч</u> <u>+</u>		
	• at or above 60.							
	below 60 but at or above 45.	4						
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 				Meets Approaching	2	12	
Academic	below 45 but at of above 50: below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent arowth percentile and its m	edian student arowth r	ercentile was	Does Not Mee	<u>ч</u> <u>+</u>	content area)	55
Growth	• at or above 70.	ent growth percentile and its int	euluit student growth p	ercentile was.	Exceeds	4	content area)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	 below 70 but at of above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 35 but at of above 40.				Does Not Mee			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and its	student arowth percer	ntile was:	Does Not Mee	<u>ч</u>		
	• at or above 60.	tudent growth percentile and its	student growth percer		Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 45 but at of above 50.				Does Not Mee		5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median add	aguate student growth percentil	a and its student arout	h parcantila was:	Does Not Mee	<u>ų </u>		
Growth Gaps	• at or above 70.	equale statent growth percentil	e unu ns student growt	in percentile was.	Excoods	4	group in 3 content	15
	 at or above 70. below 70 but at or above 55. 				Exceeds Meets	3	areas)	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. below 55 but at or above 40. 	+						
	below 55 but at or above 40. below 40.				Approaching Does Not Mee	_		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Does Not Mee	<u>ц т</u>		-
	-				Eveneda	4		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	3		
	• above 80% but below 90%.				Meets			
	at or above 65% but below 80%				Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1	12	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				E conde	1 4	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	
orkforce Readiness					Meets	3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.				Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average	le Colorddo ACT composite score	e was:			T .		
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.				Meets	3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.				Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
-	rformance indicator			n type assignment				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligi	ble.
· · · · ·	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 60% at or above 47% 				Performance
Frowth; Gaps;	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Improvement					
ostsecondary •	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 339 	6 - below 47%		P	riority Improver
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		 below 33% 				Turnaround
ool plan type assig	nments							
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	hool may not impleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Turnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined tota
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years befo				•	
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.