School Performance Framework 2010

School: VALDEZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 0408

Level: All Levels

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Improvement Plan (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

Rating/Plan

Does Not Meet

Meets

Meets

95% Participation Rate Met

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

category lower than their points indicate.

Test Participation**

TOTAL

Academic Growth

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*

(8 out of 25 points)

(35.4 out of 50 points)

(17.7 out of 25 points)

(61.1 out of 100 points)

32.1%

70.8%

70.8%

61.1%

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one



Performance Indicate							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: VALDEZ ELEN	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(1 Year***
Reading	1	4	/	Does Not Meet	115	27.8%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	115	32.2%	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	116	13.8%	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	0.0%	0	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	62	63	65	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	69	33	70	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	62	57	78	No
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	01.070	Meets	55	63	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	61	63	65	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	45	69	69	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	53	63	69	No
Mathematics	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	31	71	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	34	70	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	36	69	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	31	78	No
Writing	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	55	55	78	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	61	57	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	45	57	80	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	56	57	80	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.5%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		130	132	
Mathematics	98.5%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		131	133	
Writing	99.2%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		132	133	
Science	97.5%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		39	40	

Performance Indicato	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: VALDEZ ELEN	MENTARY SCHC	OL						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	42	52.4%	17	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	23.3%	7	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	43	48.8%	30	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	40	68	55	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	42	47	91	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	42	79	74	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
				_	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth		Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	37	68	54	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	39	68	54	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	31	68	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up		4		Meets	21	63	72	No
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	38	53	91	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	41	48	91	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	32	54	88	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	28	47	96	No
Writing	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	38	79	73	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	41	78	73	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	32	81	73	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	86	89	No
Total	39	48	81.3%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.7%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		43	44	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		44	44	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partic	ipation Rate Met		44	44	
Science				N/A		0	0	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels		
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points		
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t 	(4 for each	25							
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t 	2	content area)							
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 	below the 15th percentile of all schools. Does Not Meet 1								
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:						
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60. Exceeds 4								
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3				
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3				
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.								
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3				
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3				
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1				
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%									
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	% - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
School plan type a	assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of		
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef							
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•							
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,			

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.