School: DELTA VISION SCHOOL - 2166 District: DELTA COUNTY 50(J) - 0870 (1 Year***)

Performance P	lan
---------------	-----

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne
Performance	at or above 609
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 609
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 479
Turnaround	below 339

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(10 out of 15 points)	-
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		67.1%	(67.1 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





Level: All Levels

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School
School: DELTA VISION SCHOOL
(1 Year***)

SCHOOL DELTA VISION SCHOOL											
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile				
Reading	2	4		Approaching	32	65.6%	36				

Reading	2	4		Approaching	32	65.6%	36	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	32	59.4%	26	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	32	43.8%	31	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	24	43	33	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	24	47	61	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	24	41	56	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0		N/A				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	33	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	33	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	33	33	
Science	100.0%	N/A	15	15	

Performance Indicators

Level: Middle School
School: DELTA VISION SCHOOL

(1 Year***)

Selicon Plant toler Selicol										
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile			
Reading	2	4		Approaching	60	70.0%	46			
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	60	48.3%	42			
Writing	2	4		Annroaching	60	55.0%	43	_		

N/A

Approaching

50.0%

0

0

12

Science

Total

<16 students

N/A

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	52	57	32	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	52	56	76	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	52	69	60	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
•			% PUIIILS	Kuting		Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growths
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0	·	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	26	54	93	No
Writing	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	26	75	83	No
Total	6	8	75.0%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	63	63	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	63	63	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	63	63	
Science	100.0%	N/A	17	17	

N/A

Performance Indicators School: DELTA VISION SCHOOL (1 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	46	71.7%	45	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	46	23.9%	28	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	46	37.0%	23	
Science	2	4		Approaching	26	46.2%	41	
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				

							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	34	61	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	34	51	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	34	53	75	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

		5 · · 5!! !!!	~5		Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	25	54	99	No
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	2	4	50.0%	Approaching				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	32	93.8%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	157	1.9%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	2	4		Approaching	22	18.5	At/above State average
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	48	48	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	48	48	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	48	48	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	28	28	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	22	22	

Scoring Guide

Level: All Levels

erformance Indicator	rmance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
erjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kaung	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Poli	
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools. below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approach		content area)	15	
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not N	~	Content area)		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student is		leet 1			
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.	Approach		12		
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N	<u> </u>	(4 for each	35	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median		-	content area)		
G. G. H. H.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	,		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approach				
	• below 40.					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	Does Not N ent growth perceptile was:				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approach	-	60		
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N		(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and	group in 3 content	15			
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approach	ng 2			
	• below 40.	Does Not N				
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:					
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4			
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approach	ng 2			
	• below 65%.	Does Not N				
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35	
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approach	ng 2	,		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not N				
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		_			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3	1		
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approach		1		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not N	0	1		
t-Points for each per		Cut-Points for plan type assignment				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator										
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.									
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds								
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets								
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching								
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet								

Cut-Points for plan type assignment									
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.								
	• at or above 60%	Performance							
Total Framework	Framework • at or above 47% - below 60%								
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement							
	• below 33%	Turnaround							

School plan type assignments									
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.								

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading				Math Wri			Writing	Writing		Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	<u> </u>						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		Reading Math				Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.