Level: All Levels

School: TWIN PEAKS CHARTER ACADEMY - 8927 District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne				
Performance	at or above 59%				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%				
Turnaround	below 37%				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement. 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	(20.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	95.8%	(47.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	87.5%	(21.9 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		90.1%	(90.1 out of 100 points)	_

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Elementary School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: TWIN PEAKS CHARTER ACADEMY

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	602	82.6%	76	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	601	82.5%	80	
Writing	3	4		Meets	601	69.6%	79	
Science	3	4		Meets	179	62.0%	77	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	356	57	23	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	357	61	43	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	356	70	36	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	82	51	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	112	58	29	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	41	69	32	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	63	64	57	Yes
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	83	51	54	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	113	53	46	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	42	52	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	59	57	73	No
Writing	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	82	63	47	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	112	70	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	41	73	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	125	69	60	Yes
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	618	618	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	618	618	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	618	618	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	181	181	

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) Performance Indicators

School: TWIN PEAKS CHARTER ACADEMY

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	482	89.2%	92	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	482	72.6%	88	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	481	81.9%	92	
Science	3	4		Meets	155	63.9%	80	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	442	63	17	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	442	65	49	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	439	66	33	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	,	Exceeds	85	66	31	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	127	69	28	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	61	65	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	48	65	61	Yes
Mathematics	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	85	58	67	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	127	63	59	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	61	62	71	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	95	62	89	No
Writing	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,	Meets	84	56	50	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	127	65	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	61	61	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	82	63	81	No
Total	43	48	89.6%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	495	496	
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	495	496	
Writing	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	494	496	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	157	157	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

rformance Indicat	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report or Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.		Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.		Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth gro	percentile was:	•	•		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student	•	content area)			
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student grow	th percentile was:		•		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	nt growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
Points for each	performance indicator Cut-Point	s for plan type assignment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.	Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ble.
chievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds	• at or above 59%	6	<u> </u>		Performance
			/ I I =00/			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator									
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.									
• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds								
• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets								
• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching								
• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th • at or above 87.5% • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%								

Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 59%	Performance					
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement					
Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement					
	• below 37%	Turnaround					

School plan type assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.						

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	7 00 0 1											
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.