School Performance Framework 2010

School: IMAGINE CHARTER - 4333

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, _ which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each **A** performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned				
Performance	at or above 59%	_			
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	Т			
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	_			
Turnaround	below 37%				
Framework points are percentage of points e eligible. For schools with the total points possibl Academic Achievement Growth, and 25 for Acade	earned out of points data on all indicators, le are: 25 points for , 50 for Academic	T (* : th **			

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		64.6%	(64.6 out of 100 points)	
the points eligible, so scores are no	t negatively impacted.		of students. In these cases, the points are re	

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.



Level: All Levels

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (1 Year***)

Performance Indicat	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: IMAGINE CH								(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	164	78.0%	65	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	164	67.1%	42	
Writing	3	4		Meets	164	59.2%	61	
Science	3	4		Meets	50	52.0%	58	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	106	56	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	108	43	53	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	106	51	39	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	54	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23	49	35	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up) 2	4		Approaching	21	49	53	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	29	51	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	39	62	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	36	48	67	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	24	48	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	22	48	44	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	44	50	62	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d	F	Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		165	165	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		165	165	
Writing	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		165	165	
Science	100.0%		95% Partici	pation Rate Met		51	51	

Performance Indicate							Level	Middle Schoo
School: IMAGINE CH	ARTER							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	113	70.8%	48	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	112	39.3%	26	
Writing	3	4		Meets	113	63.7%	63	
Science	2	4		Approaching	27	40.7%	36	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	106	59	27	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	104	30	69	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	106	64	51	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	011770	Meets	28	56	28	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	24	64	40	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	33	64	61	Yes
Mathematics	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	21	73	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	21	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	54	32	89	No
Writing	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	28	59	49	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	24	70	70	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	41	71	82	No
Total	25	36	69.4%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		121	121	
Mathematics	99.2%			ipation Rate Met		120	121	
Writing	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		121	121	
Science	100.0%			ipation Rate Met		28	28	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels		
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points		
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	16								
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	(4 for each	25							
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t 	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. Meets Meets Meets Approaching 2								
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 				Does Not Meet	t 1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3				
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3				
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3				
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3				
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1				
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	% - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
School plan type a	assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of		
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef							
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•							
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,			

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.