# School Performance Framework 2010

# School: FLAGSTAFF CHARTER ACADEMY - 2964

Level: All Levels

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J - 0470 (3 Year\*\*\*)

#### **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.



Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	84.4%	( 21.1 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 37.5 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.0%	( 17.5 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		76.1%	(76.1 out of 100 points)	

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

School: FLAGSTAFF C	HARTER ACAD	EMY						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(0.100)
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	553	89.0%	90	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	553	88.8%	92	
Writing	3	4		Meets	552	76.4%	89	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	140	77.9%	94	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	293	56	16	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	293	58	34	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	292	49	28	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	30	54	21	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
	2	4		Approaching	26	46	51	No
Students needing to catch up			87.5%	Exceeds				
	7	8	07.5%	Execcus				
<u> </u>	7 0	0	07.5%	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	/	-	67.3%		<20 students 30	N/A 62	N/A 41	Yes
Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	87.3%	N/A			-	Yes

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	575	576
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	575	576
Writing	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	574	576
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	146	146

30

<20 students

30

<20 students

<20 students

62

60

N/A

42

N/A

N/A

44

Meets

N/A

N/A

N/A

Meets

Approaching

Approaching

Approaching

50.0%

66.7%

64

N/A

32

N/A

N/A

54

No

Yes

No

Students needing to catch up

Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible

Students w/ Disabilities

English Language Learners

Students needing to catch up

**Minority Students** 

Writing

Total

3

4

0

2

0

0

2

16

4

8

0

4

0

0

4

24

Performance Indicate	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: FLAGSTAFF (	CHARTER ACAD	EMY						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	272	83.1%	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	273	65.2%	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	272	72.8%	82	
Science	3	4		Meets	63	58.7%	70	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	236	48	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	236	54	54	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	236	50	36	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	20	51	17	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	31	45	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	<b>b</b> 3	4		Meets	36	59	59	Yes
Mathematics	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	20	61	61	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	30	60	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	<b>2</b>	4		Approaching	77	46	89	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	20	60	39	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	31	40	47	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	<b>2</b>	4		Approaching	64	49	72	No
Total	26	36	72.2%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1	Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	ation Rate Met		284	284	
Mathematics	100.0%			tion Rate Met		285	285	
Writing	100.0%			ation Rate Met		284	284	
Science	100.0%		95% Particina	tion Rate Met		66	66	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	16						
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	h percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t</li> </ul>	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)	
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%							
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround
School plan type a	assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef					
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•					
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,	

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.