Level: All Levels

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (1 Year***)

School: AURORA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0458

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne				
Performance	at or above 59%				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%				
Turnaround	below 37%				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	65.6%	(16.4 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	87.5%	(43.8 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	85.4%	(21.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		81.6%	(81.6 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	fficient numbers	of students. In these cases, the points are rer	noved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School

School: AURORA AC	CADEMY CHARTI	ER SCHOOL						(1 Year***)
Acadomic Achievement	Doints Farnad	Doints Eligible	9/ Doints	Datina	N	9/ Droficiont/Advanced	School's Parcentile	

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	199	75.4%	58	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	199	63.8%	35	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	199	45.7%	34	
Science	3	4		Meets	75	48.0%	51	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	140	57	31	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	140	55	54	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	140	53	50	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	45	57	40	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	85	60	36	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4	'	Exceeds	27	73	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	42	68	55	Yes
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	45	42	62	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	85	56	56	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	27	61	61	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	45	49	72	No
Writing	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	45	44	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	85	51	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	27	48	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	81	50	64	No
Total	34	48	70.8%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	199	199	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	199	199	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	199	199	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	75	75	

Level: Middle School (1 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: AURORA ACAE	DEMY CHARTER SCHOOL
---------------------	---------------------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	136	83.1%	78	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	136	73.5%	87	
Writing	3	4		Meets	136	72.1%	79	
Science	2	4		Approaching	44	47.7%	49	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	135	66	26	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	135	83	64	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	135	66	53	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	·	Exceeds	47	69	29	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	67	66	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	21	67	35	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	32	72	56	Yes
Mathematics	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	·	Exceeds	47	84	65	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	67	84	68	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	21	86	65	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	41	84	89	No
Writing	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	·	Exceeds	47	60	57	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	67	64	55	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	21	60	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	51	75	80	No
Total	48	48	100.0%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	136	136	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	136	136	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	136	136	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	44	44	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

ring Guide for D	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Poport						evei. All Leve			
	tor Scoring Guide	work keport			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir			
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:					1000110000000				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.									
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	Approaching	2	content area)							
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.		Does Not Mee	t 1							
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	rth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile wo	15:		•					
	• at or above 60.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3								
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	12						
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50						
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud			content area)							
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4							
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3							
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2							
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1							
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its	student growth percentile	was:							
	• at or above 60.										
	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 60 but at or above 45.									
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 45 but at or above 30.									
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:									
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment							
c	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut	Point: The school	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligi	ble.			
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	at or above 59%				Performance					
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework •	at or above 47%	- below 59%		Total Framework • at or above 47% - below 59% Impro				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator									
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.								
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds							
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets							
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching							
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet							

Cut-Points for plan type assignment									
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.								
	• at or above 59%	Performance							
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement							
Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement							
	• below 37%	Turnaround							

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.