Level: Elementary School

School: LITTLETON ACADEMY - 5229 District: LITTLETON 6 - 0140 (3 Year***)

95% Participation Rate Met

Perio	rmance Plan	Performance indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earnea out of Points Eligible		
and implement. Scho on their overall fra	the school is required to adopt tools are assigned a plan based amework score, which is a	Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	
the total points el indicator. The overall	tal points they earned out of igible in each performance score is then matched to the o determine the plan type.	Academic Growth	Exceeds	100.0%	(50 out of 50 points)	
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned					
Performance	at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	82.1%	(20.5 out of 25 points)	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%					

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Test Participation**

TOTAL

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and

95.5%

What do the performance indicators measure?

Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total

points possible are: 25 points for Academic

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

below 37%

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

(95.5 out of 100 points)





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Level: Elementary School
School: LITTLETON ACADEMY - 5229	(3 Vear***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	458	95.0%	98	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	457	94.5%	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	456	90.1%	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	150	90.7%	99	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	299	63	15	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	299	65	28	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	298	61	23	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	49	72	12	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	43	22	Yes
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	8	8	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	49	75	21	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	26	73	36	Yes
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	48	65	22	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	41	29	Yes
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	39	54	47	Yes
Total	23	28	82.1%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	460	460	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	460	460	
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	459	460	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	152	152	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	nentary Schoo		
coring Guide for P	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report								
Performance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			1.209					
		at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.								
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	Oth percentile of all schools.			Exceeds Meets	3	16 (4 for each	25		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1				Approaching	2	content area)			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	•			Does Not Meet	1	,			
	'	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:								
	• at or above 60.	•	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:								
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4							
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3							
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate									
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4					
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3]	l		
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	60	ł				
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup						
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	•		group in 3 content	25					
	• at or above 70.									
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment						
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	ol earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	<u>.</u>		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
chool plan type as	signments									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total		
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		ive consecutive years bet		-		•			
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	·	•		•					
riority improveme	File Flaming School is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan. K	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sun	nmer immed	lately following the f	all ill willcii tile		

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

		Reading	-	Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

									<u> </u>			
		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

School: LITTLETON ACADEMY - 5229 District: LITTLETON 6 - 0140 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	
percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.	Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(45.9 out of 50 points)	
Plan AssignmentFramework Points EarnedPerformanceat or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	
Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%					
Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
Turnaround below 37%					
Framework points are calculated using the	TOTAL		95.9%	(95.9 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total

points possible are: 25 points for Academic

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) Performance Indicators

School:	LITTLE:	TON ACADEMY	′ - 5229
---------	---------	-------------	----------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	445	97.3%	98	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	445	93.9%	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	445	96.4%	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	144	81.2%	96	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	437	52	11	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	437	61	30	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	436	65	20	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	68	65	10	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	8	8	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	68	62	25	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	23	75	84	No
Writing	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	67	72	19	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	448	448	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	448	448	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	448	448	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	

oring Guide							Level:	Middle Scho	
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report							
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide	 			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:				1 .			
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.			Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th				Meets	3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement		h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	yth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentil	e was:		1 .			
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its m	nedian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets Approaching	3 2			
	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 55 but at or above 40.							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and it	s student growth perce	ntile was:					
	at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	60 (5 for each subgroup		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1		ı e	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth percent	ile and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.			ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ble.	
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	, ,			Performance	
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%							Improvement	
,	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%			Pr	riority Improvem	
-	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround	
ool plan type a									
os-piem-type di	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A se	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	ement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total	
rovement Plan			consecutive years before	, ,	•		Ü		

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

		Reading	•	Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.