School Performance Framework 2010

School: HANSON PREK-8 SCHOOL - 6534

Level: Elementary School

District: ADAMS COUNTY 14 - 0030 (1 Year***)

Turnaround Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible* Performance Indicators Rating/Plan This is the plan type the school is required to adopt **Does Not Meet** 25.0% (6.3 out of 25 points) and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based Academic Achievement on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance Academic Growth 33.3% (16.7 out of 50 points) **Does Not Meet** indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. **Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned Academic Growth Gaps** Approaching 39.6% (9.9 out of 25 points) at or above 59% at or above 47% - below 59% Test Participation** 95% Participation Rate Met Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47% below 37% 32.9% (32.9 out of 100 points) TOTAL Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

points possible are: 25 points for Academic

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Improvement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato	rs						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: HANSON PRE	K-8 SCHOOL - (6534						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	131	35.1%	5	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	131	36.6%	4	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	131	16.0%	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	4.6%	1	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	39	58	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	73	40	68	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	37	69	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	01.070	Does Not Meet	75	39	57	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	39	57	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	66	42	57	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	37	64	No
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	70	40	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	68	41	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	61	45	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	41	75	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	37	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	70	40	69	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	63	40	68	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	36	74	No
Total	19	48	39.6%	Approaching				
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%			95% Participation R	ate Met	143	144	
Mathematics	99.3%			95% Participation R	ate Met	143	144	
Writing	99.3%			95% Participation R	ate Met	143	144	
Science	97.9%			95% Participation R		47	48	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo		
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin		
erjormance mail	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			Kuting	Foint Vulue		FIGHTEWORK FOIL		
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50	Oth percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement		•			Approaching	2	content area)			
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its medic	an student arowth percent	tile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident growth percentile and i	n percentile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.	· · · · ·	-	-	Exceeds	4				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perc	centile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 									
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	5		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perc	entile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3				
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligibl	e.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement		
Ī	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemer		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
hool plan type a	assignments									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	· ·	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total		
provement Pla			five consecutive years be				•			
iority Improven	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sur	nmer immed	liately following the i	all in which the		
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it i	s required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan.			

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mea	n) Colorado ACT C	omposite Score
	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

School Performance Framework 2010

School: HANSON PREK-8 SCHOOL - 6534

Level: Middle School

District: ADAMS COUNTY 14 - 0030 (1 Year***)

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based Aca on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the Aca scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	Aca
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	Tes
Turnaround	below 37%	
•	are calculated using the earned out of points eligible.	тот

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		56.4%	(56.4 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ifficient numbers	of students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato							Level:	Middle Schoo
School: HANSON PRE	EK-8 SCHOOL - (6534						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	41.9%	6	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	28.7%	13	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	33.3%	13	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	21.0%	11	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	103	49	52	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	106	66	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	103	57	75	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth		Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	88	48	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	94	51	54	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	86	53	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	60	49	65	No
Mathematics	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	91	65	91	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	97	66	90	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	89	66	89	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	76	64	95	No
Writing	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	88	56	77	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	94	57	77	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	86	56	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	68	60	85	No
Total	32	48	66.7%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.3%			95% Participation R	Rate Met	144	145	
Mathematics	99.3%			95% Participation R	ate Met	144	145	
Writing	99.3%			95% Participation R		144	145	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation R		42	42	

coring Guide								Level:	Middle Schoo			
oring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report										
erformance Indic	ator Scoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	t or advanced was:										
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.					Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50 	th percentile of all schools.				Meets	3	(4 for each	25			
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15	th percentile of all schools.				Approaching	2	content area)				
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 					Does Not Meet	1					
	If the school meets the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median	n student growth per	rcentile	e was:							
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4					
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 					Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 					Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ne school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4					
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 					Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 					Approaching	2					
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	student growth percentile and	d its student growth	percer	ntile was:	-						
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4					
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 					Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 					Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup					
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth perce	ntile and its student	growt	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 					Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 					Approaching	2					
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1					
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points fo	or plar	n type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on tl	nis Indicator.		0	Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	nework points eligit	ole.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 59%	6			Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Frame	work	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	-	• at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		-	• below 37%				Turnaround			
hool plan type a	ssignments											
	Plan description											
erformance Plan	-	Performance Plan.	A school may not imi	pleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	urnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total of			
nprovement Plar			, ,		, ,			ture or close the sch				
•	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a											
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a					•		or Turnaround Plan.				

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score			
	N of Students	Mean Score	
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0	
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1	

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.