**Level: Elementary School** 

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year\*\*\*)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt
and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based
on their overall framework score, which is a
percentage of the total points they earned out of
the total points eligible in each performance
indicator. The overall score is then matched to the
scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

\*Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. \*\*Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	( 20.3 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	( 25 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps			( out of points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		60.4%	( 45.3 out of 75 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Elementary School (3 Year\*\*\*) **Performance Indicators** 

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points Rating		N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	67	88.1%	89	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	67	88.1%	90	
Writing	3	4		Meets	67	70.2%	81	
Science	3	4		Meets	25	72.0%	89	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	33	30	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	33	34	29	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	33	42	32	Yes
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	68	68	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	68	68	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	68	68	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	25	25	

oring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Scho
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report						
rformance Indi	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficie	nt or advanced was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	Oth percentile of all schools.					(4 for each	25
Achievemen	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1	5th percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its media	n student growth percent	ile was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and i	ts median student growth	percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	1	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perce	entile was:		•		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	1			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	,
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median of	idequate student growth perc	entile and its student grov	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1		
t-Points for eac	th performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.			l earned of th	ne total Fram	ework points eligibl	e.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%			,	Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement
,,	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%			Pri	ority Improveme
	• below 37.5%	• below 37%	50.011 1770			Turnaround		
nool plan type a		Does Not Meet		20.0.0				. In it is a little
noor plant type a	Plan description							
rformance Plar	·	a Porformanco Plan	A school may not implem	ont a Priority Impro	voment and/or	Furnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total
provement Pla			five consecutive years be	• •	-		•	
•	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4		•			
ority improven	nent Flan inte school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	I during the sur	ıımer immed	iately following the f	an in which the

### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem Middle High E		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year\*\*\*)

# Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

TOTAL

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. \*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	( 16.7 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth			( out of points)	
Academic Growth Gaps			( out of points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		66.8%	( 16.7 out of 25 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators
School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 9431
(3 Year\*\*\*)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	19	63.2%	32	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	19	57.9%	64	
Writing	3	4		Meets	19	63.2%	60	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0						

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0				·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	N/A	19	19	
Mathematics	100.0%	N/A	19	19	
Writing	100.0%	N/A	19	19	
Science		N/A	0	0	

oring Guide							Levei	Middle Scho				
	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report										
rformance Indica	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:				T 4	16					
	'	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.										
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	_ ·			Meets	3	(4 for each	25				
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)					
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median	student growth percentil	le was:		1						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each					
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its	median student growth p	percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4						
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2								
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and	its student growth perce	ntile was:		•						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup					
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	equate student growth percen	ntile and its student grow	th percentile was:	•	•	group in 3 content					
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	,					
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment		1						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator			olearned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	nle.				
schievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%		the total I i	me work points engin	Performance				
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework					Improvement				
nowin, daps	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%											
	• below 37.5%	Approaching  Does Not Meet	Points	• below 37%								
		Does Not Meet		• below 37/6				Turriarouriu				
ool plan type as												
	Plan description	2 (			,			11 11 1				
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a  The school is required to adopt and implement ar		school may not impleme ve consecutive years befo	, ,	•		U					

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.