School Performance Framework 2010

Performance Plan

Level: Elementary School

School: THE STUDIO SCHOOL - 8211

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year***) Performance Indicators Rating/Plan % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible* This is the plan type the school is required to adopt 75.0% (18.8 out of 25 points) and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based Academic Achievement Meets on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance Academic Growth (out of points) indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. **Framework Points Earned Academic Growth Gaps** (out of points) at or above 59% at or above 47% - below 59% Test Participation** 95% Participation Rate Met Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47% below 37% 75.2% (18.8 out of 25 points) TOTAL Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

For schools with data on all indicators, the total

points possible are: 25 points for Academic

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

Plan Assignment

Performance Improvement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indica								ementary Scho
School: THE STUD								(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	47	76.6%	62	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	47	74.5%	60	
Writing	3	4		Meets	47	57.4%	54	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Гotal	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading					<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics					<20 students	N/A		
Writing					<20 students	N/A		
Total								
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	Tomits Lutileu	T OINTS ENGINE	701 011103	nuting		rereentile		Growth:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligit					<20 students	N/A		
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	-				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics						N/A	N/A	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligit	hlo				<20 students	N/A		
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A		
Students needing to catch					<20 students	N/A		
Writing						14/14		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligit	ble				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	5				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	-					·		
Test Participation	% of Students Tested			Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%				ipation Rate Met	47	47	
Mathematics	100.0%				ipation Rate Met	47	47	
Writing	100.0%				cipation Rate Met	47	47	
Science				N/A		0	0	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo				
	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indic	ator Scoring Guide	ring Guide										
		school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:										
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16								
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. 										
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1 	Approaching	2	content area)								
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 											
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	e school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: at or above 60. Exceeds 4										
	• at or above 60.	at or above 60.										
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 60 but at or above 45.										
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each					
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and it	s median student growt	th percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.	at or above 70.										
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1								
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	ne student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 60.	at or above 60.										
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 60 but at or above 45.										
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgrou					
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perce			group in 3 content							
	• at or above 70.	at or above 70.										
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1							
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plar	n type assignment								
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of t	he total Fram	ework points eligib	le.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%								
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pr	ority Improvemer				
-	• below 37.5%		Turnaround									
hool plan type as	ssignments											
	Plan description											
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not impler	nent a Priority Impro	vement and/or	Turnaround	Plan for longer than	a combined total				
nprovement Plan			ive consecutive years be	, ,			0					
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement											
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		chool is notified that it		-							

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.