District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year***)

School: STARGATE CHARTER SCHOOL - 1519

Performance		
Periormance	Plan	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	-
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	84.4%	(21.1 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		87.8%	(87.8 out of 100 points)	

Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Elementary School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: STARGATE CHARTER SCHOOL - 1519

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	652	95.1%	98	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	653	96.3%	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	652	77.3%	90	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	225	86.7%	98	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	428	54	15	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	428	60	28	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	427	56	30	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Percentile .	Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	106	54	14	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4	'	Meets	44	55	16	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4	'	Exceeds	23	65	50	Yes
Mathematics	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	106	60	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	44	50	22	Yes
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	106	60	29	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	44	57	29	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	95	58	44	Yes
Total	27	32	84.4%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	657	658	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	657	657	
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	656	657	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	226	226	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	nentary Schoo	
coring Guide for P	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report							
Performance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			1.209				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	it or advanced trast			Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	Oth percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1				Approaching	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	•	Does Not Meet	1	,				
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median	student growth percenti	ile was:					
	• at or above 60.	•	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.	• below 30.							
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its	median student growth	percentile was:	•		content area)		
	• at or above 70.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Exceeds	4			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile and	l its student growth perce	entile was:					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	60		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth percei	ntile and its student grov	vth percentile was:	•		group in 3 content	25	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1			
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment					
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	ol earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	<u>.</u>	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance	
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround	
chool plan type as	signments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total	
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		ive consecutive years bet		•		•		
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	·	•		•				
riority improveme	File Flaming School is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan. K	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sun	nmer immed	lately following the f	all ill willcii tile	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		•							<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvem	nent at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	_
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(45.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	88.6%	(22.2 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		93.1%	(93.1 out of 100 points)	

Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) Performance Indicators

School:	STARGATE	CHARTER S	SCHOOL -	1519
---------	----------	-----------	----------	------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	566	98.1%	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	566	94.2%	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	564	94.7%	98	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	167	89.2%	99	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	555	57	10	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	555	62	30	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	553	60	25	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,	Meets	31	55	13	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	158	65	10	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4	'	Exceeds	62	62	10	Yes
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,	Meets	30	46	28	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	158	67	26	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	62	59	23	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	28	59	79	No
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	30	68	27	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	156	62	21	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	61	69	21	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	30	61	62	No
Total	39	44	88.6%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	567	568	
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	567	568	
Writing	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	565	568	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	167	167	

oring Guide							Level:	Middle Scho	
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						-	
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:				1 .			
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	_ '			Meets	3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median st	udent growth percentil	e was:		· ·			
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets Approaching	3 2	12		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 45 but at or above 30.							
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its m	edian student growth រុ	percentile was:			content area)		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	student growth perce	ntile was:					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median add	equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:		•	group in 3 content	25	
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1			
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment					
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.	
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 59%	ó			Performance	
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%			at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improveme	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround	
ool plan type a	ssignments								
	Plan description								
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	hool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	rement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total	
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	Improvement Plan. five	consecutive years befo	ore the District or In	stitute is reaui	red to restru	cture or close the sch	ool. The five	

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

									<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.