Level: Middle School

District: PUEBLO COUNTY 70 - 2700 (1 Year***)

School: BEULAH MIDDLE SCHOOL - 0856

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt								
and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based								
on their overall framework score, which is a								
percentage of the total points they earned out of								
the total points eligible in each performance								
indicator. The overall score is then matched to the								
scoring guide below to determine the plan type.								

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

*Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. **Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(20.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		50.1%	(37.6 out of 75 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (1 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School:	BEULAH MIDDLE	SCHOOL - 0856
---------	----------------------	----------------------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned Points		% Points	Rating N		% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	44	79.6%	69	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	44	45.4%	36	
Writing	3	4		Meets	44	63.6%	62	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	39	32	20	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	25	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	41	41	47	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	47	47	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	47	47	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	47	47	
Science	100.0%	N/A	13	13	

oring Guide	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	Surgel Banaut						Middle Scho			
	ator Scoring Guide	еworк керогт			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin			
jornance maic	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			Kuting	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Framework Poin			
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds	T 4	16						
Academic	· ·	Meets	3	(4 for each	25						
Achievement	'	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. 									
Acmevement	below the 35th percentile but at or above the 15th below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching Does Not Mee	2 1	content area)							
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth nercentile and its median st	udent arowth nercentil	e mac.	Does Not Mee	ч -					
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4								
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3							
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	12						
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	+	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent arowth nercentile and its m	nedian student arowth r	nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	<u>ч</u>	content area)				
G.O.T.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	1 4	content area;							
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3								
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2								
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	 								
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and it	s student arowth perce	ntile was:	12000110011100	1 -					
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	T 4							
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Mee							
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	,				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	group in 3 content									
·	• at or above 70.			•	Exceeds	4	areas)				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	,				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
-Points for each	n performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment	'						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligit	ole.			
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%			,	Performance			
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47%							
, , <u>,</u>	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	% - below 47% Priority Improv									
	• below 37.5%	Turnaround									
ool plan type a		Does Not Meet		• below 37%							
co-pien cype a	Plan description										
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a				.,		Plan for longer than a				

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5	
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0	
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

								•					
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.