## School Performance Framework 2010

# School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1504

Level: Elementary School

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (1 Year\*\*\*)

#### **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	( 29.2 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	37.5%	( 9.4 out of 25 points )	
Fest Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		57.4%	( 57.4 out of 100 points )	
Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	fficient numbers	of students. In these cases, the points are rea	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

COE Improving Academic Achievement

#### Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicate	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: GOODNIGHT		SCHOOL - 150	4					(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<b>,</b>
Reading	3	4		Meets	238	76.0%	60	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	239	78.7%	67	
Writing	3	4		Meets	239	58.2%	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	77	48.0%	51	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	147	37	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	147	51	44	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	148	43	35	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	103	29	27	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	100	40	26	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	27	61	No
Mathematics	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	103	45	48	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	99	47	48	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	104	30	42	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	100	44	36	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	32	62	No
Total	12	32	37.5%	Approaching				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.8%			95% Participation	Rate Met	254	257	
Mathematics	99.6%			95% Participation	Rate Met	256	257	
Writing	99.2%			95% Participation	Rate Met	255	257	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation	Rate Met	81	81	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo			
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin			
erjormance mail	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			Kuting	Foint Vulue		FIGHTEWORK FOIL			
		• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.									
Academic		below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.									
Achievement		<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.</li> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>									
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>				Approaching Does Not Meet	2	content area)				
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its medic	an student arowth percent	tile was:							
	• at or above 60.	•			Exceeds	4					
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3					
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident growth percentile and i	its median student growth	n percentile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.	· · · · ·	-	-	Exceeds	4					
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perc	centile was:							
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4					
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3					
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup				
Growth Gaps	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perc	entile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3					
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1					
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligibl	e.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement			
Ī	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemer			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround			
hool plan type a	assignments										
	Plan description										
erformance Plan		a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total			
provement Pla			five consecutive years be				•				
iority Improven	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sur	nmer immed	liately following the i	all in which the			
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it i	s required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan.				

### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mea	n) Colorado ACT C	omposite Score
	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

## School Performance Framework 2010

# School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1504

Level: Middle School

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (1 Year\*\*\*)

Performance	D	lan
renormance		all

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	93.8%	( 23.5 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	( 29.2 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.2%	( 13.6 out of 25 points )	
Fest Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		66.3%	( 66.3 out of 100 points )	
Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insut	fficient numbers	of students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

COE Improving Academic Achievement

#### Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato	ors						Level	: Middle Schoo
School: GOODNIGHT	ELEMENTARY	SCHOOL - 150	4					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	83	86.8%	86	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	83	75.9%	90	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	83	81.9%	92	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	29	75.9%	90	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	77	53	16	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	39	41	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	77	59	28	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	8	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30.070	Approaching	46	39	18	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	35	35	18	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	103
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching	-20 510001115			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	57.570	Does Not Meet	47	39	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	36	42	43	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	6	8	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	, 0.070	Meets	46	58	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	35	52	22	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	13	24	54.2%	Approaching			,	
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.7%			95% Participation	Rate Met	84	86	
Mathematics	97.7%			95% Participation		85	87	
Writing	97.7%			95% Participation		84	86	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation		29	29	

coring Guide							Level	Middle Schoo				
coring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report										
erformance India	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:										
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16					
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50		Meets	3	(4 for each	25						
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	content area)								
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>	below the 15th percentile of all schools.										
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:       • at or above 60.       Exceeds       4										
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.										
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	• below 60 but at or above 45.										
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	• below 45 but at or above 30.										
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its	s median student growth	n percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.											
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.											
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	• below 40. Does Not Meet 1 If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.										
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	• below 60 but at or above 45.										
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>			Approaching	2	60						
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup						
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth perce	ntile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25				
	• at or above 70.	• at or above 70.										
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for p	lan type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%											
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framewor	k • at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%											
	• below 37.5%											
chool plan type a												
	Plan description											
erformance Plan		Performance Plan.	school may not implen	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total of				
nprovement Plai			ive consecutive years be	, ,			0					
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a				•							
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a						or Turnaround Plan.					

### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.