District: THOMPSON R-2J - 1560 (3 Year***)

School: NEW VISION CHARTER SCHOOL - 6220

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(17.2 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		63.1%	(63.1 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School (3 Year***)

School: NEW VISION CHARTER SCHOOL - 6220

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	420	76.2%	60	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	420	68.1%	45	
Writing	3	4		Meets	420	56.9%	53	
Science	3	4		Meets	140	50.7%	58	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	279	47	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	279	47	52	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	279	45	38	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

A and and a Crowth Comp	Dainta Farmad	Dainta Fliaibla	0/ Dainta	Doublin or	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	22	50	32	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	35	41	30	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0	·	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	34	60	No
Mathematics	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	22	44	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	35	40	56	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	78	48	69	No
Writing	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	22	59	42	Yes
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	36	41	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	·
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	·
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	125	40	56	No
Total	18	36	50.0%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	424	430	
Mathematics	98.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	425	431	
Writing	98.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	425	431	
Science	97.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	140	143	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	nentary Schoo	
coring Guide for P	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report							
Performance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			1.209				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	it or advanced trast			Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	Oth percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1				Approaching	2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	•			Does Not Meet	1	,		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median	student growth percenti	ile was:					
	• at or above 60.	•	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its	median student growth	percentile was:	•		content area)		
	• at or above 70.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Exceeds	4			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile and	l its student growth perce	entile was:					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3]			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth percei	ntile and its student grov	vth percentile was:	•		group in 3 content	25	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 55 but at or above 40.							
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1			
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment					
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	ol earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	<u>.</u>	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance	
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme	
	• below 37.5%	• below 37%							
chool plan type as	signments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total	
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		ive consecutive years bet		-		•		
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	·	•		•				
riority improveme	File Flaming School is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan. K	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sun	nmer immed	lately following the f	all ill willcii tile	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

				7 00 0 1								
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

District: THOMPSON R-2J - 1560 (3 Year***)

School: NEW VISION CHARTER SCHOOL - 6220

Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(29.2 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	41.7%	(10.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		58.4%	(58.4 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: NEW	VISION	CHARTER	SCHOO	L - 6220
-------------	--------	---------	-------	----------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	363	77.4%	68	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	364	52.5%	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	363	65.3%	66	
Science	3	4		Meets	90	51.1%	55	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	358	41	19	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	359	44	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	358	49	40	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	25	38	38	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	36	44	34	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	42	54	No
Mathematics	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	24	83	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	36	35	77	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	149	38	87	No
Writing	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	25	50	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	36	44	46	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	109	45	74	No
Total	15	36	41.7%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	372	378	
Mathematics	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	373	378	
Writing	98.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	372	378	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	93	93	

oring Guide							Level:	Middle Scho		
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			Exceeds	1 4	16			
	·	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.								
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th				Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement		h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	vth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentil	le was:		· ·				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.	• below 30.								
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its m	nedian student growth រុ	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	below 70 but at or above 55.								
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	below 55 but at or above 40.								
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and it	s student growth perce	ntile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4]			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth percent	ile and its student grow	th percentile was:		•	group in 3 content	25		
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment						
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.		
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 59%	6			Performance		
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%			at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvem		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
ool plan type a	ssignments									
	Plan description									
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A se	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	ement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total		
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement as	Improvement Dlan five	consecutive years before	ora tha Dictrict or In	sctituto ic roqui	rad to ractru	stura or alosa tha sab	and The five		

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.