#### School Performance Framework 2010

## Level: High School

District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (1 Year\*\*\*)

#### School: POUDRE TRANSITION CENTER - 7127

Improvement Plan (Revised)	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*
All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive a default plan type of Improvement Plan, unless their district indicates	Academic Achievement			( out of points )
otherwise. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator matched to the scoring guide below.	Academic Growth			( out of points )
indicator, matched to the scoring guide below. Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned	Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)
Performance at or above 60%	/ lauenne eronan eaps			( out of points )
Improvementat or above 47% - below 60%Priority Improvementat or above 33% - below 47%Turnaroundbelow 33%	Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 8.8 out of 35 points )
Framework points are calculated using the	Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate		
percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for	TOTAL		25.1%	( 8.8 out of 35 points )
Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.	negatively impacted.		· ·	emoved from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not ct areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.



SCHOOLView.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

> \*\*\* Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: SPF report.

1

Performance Indicators							Level	: High Scho
School: POUDRE TRANSITION	CENTER - 7127							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students			
Total	0	0		,		, ,		
								Made
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		,		,	,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0			20 01000110	,,,		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	 N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0		177		N/A		
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
	1	4	70 PUINS	Does Not Meet	96	17.7%	80%	
Graduation Rate Dropout Rate	1	4 4		Does Not Meet	327	11.9%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	0	0		N/A	321	11.3%	At/above State average	
Total	2	8	25.0%	Does Not Meet			Al/above State average	
	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	65.1%				% Participation Rate		43	
Mathematics	72.1%				% Participation Rate		43	
Writing	62.8%				% Participation Rate		43	
Science	69.2%				% Participation Rate		26	
Colorado ACT	92.3%			N/A		12	13	

formance Indicato	formance Indicators on the School Performance Frame				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi				
joimance malcator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			Kuting	rome value	1010170331010	I Tullie Work PO				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	or advanced was.			Exceeds	4	16					
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th</li> </ul>	h percentile of all schools			Meets	3	(4 for each					
Achievement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. Approac										
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 35th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>	2 t 1	content area)									
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	wth perceptile and its median stud	dent arowth percentile	was.	Does Not Mee	<u>د</u>						
	• at or above 60.											
		at or above 60.     Exceeds     4       below 60 but at or above 45.     Meets     3										
	below 45 but at or above 30.											
Academic	• below 30.				Approaching Does Not Mee	2 t 1	12 (4 for each					
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent arouth percentile and its me	dian student arowth r	ercentile was:	Dues Not Mee	ч <u>т</u>	content area)					
Glowin	• at or above 70.	ent growth percentile und its met	ululi studelli growti p	ercentile was.	Exceeds	4	content area)					
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3						
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 33.</li> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				-	2						
	• below 55 but at of above 40.				Approaching Does Not Mee							
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudant growth parcontile and its	student growth perce	tilowas	Does Not Mee	ų I						
	• at or above 60.	tudent growth percentile and its s	student growth percer	illie wus.	Exceeds	4						
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3						
						2	60					
Acadamia	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> <li>below 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching		60 (E for each subgroup					
Academic		awata student arouth percentile	and its student around	h norcontilo was	Does Not Mee	<u>ц т</u>	(5 for each subgroup	1				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median add	equale student growth percentile	und its student growt	n percentile was:	Evenede		group in 3 content					
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	-					
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	below 40.  Conduction Party: The school's anduction rate way				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Evenede							
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4						
	above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3						
	at or above 65% but below 80%				Approaching	2						
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1	12					
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						12					
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35				
orkforce Readiness					Meets	3	indicator)					
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.				Approaching	2						
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score	was:		1	1						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4						
	• at or above the state average but below 22.				Meets	3						
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.				Approaching	2						
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
-Points for each pe	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignmen								
Cut	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The sch	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligi	ble.				
Achievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		<ul> <li>at or above 60</li> </ul>	%			Performance				
Frowth; Gaps;	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47</li> </ul>	% - below 60%			Improvemen				
ostsecondary •	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	P	riority Improver									
•	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround				
ool plan type assig	nments											
	Plan description											
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan	lool may not impleme	nt a Priority Impro	vement and/or	Turnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined tot:				
			consecutive years befo									
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an											

## **Comparison Data**

### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.