School Performance Framework 2010

Level: High School

School: FLAGLER SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 2960

District: ARRIBA-FLAGLER C-20 - 1450 (1 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	83.3%	(12.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth			(out of points)	
Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	87.5%	(30.6 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		86.2%	(43.1 out of 50 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc scores are not negatively impacted.	licator due to insufficient numbers of stude	ents. In these cases	, the points are removed from both the point	s earned and the points eligible, so

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates,

SCHOOLView.

*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High School
School: FLAGLER SENIOR HIGH	SCHOOL - 2960	0						(1 Year***)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	18	94.4%	96	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	18	50.0%	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	18	72.2%	89	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	70 POINTS	N/A	<20 students	N/A	reitentile	Giowin:
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0				N/A		
	0	0						
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	-
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	,
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	4	4		Exceeds	17	94.1%	80%	
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	71	2.8%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	0	0		N/A			At/above State average	
Total	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	94.7%			N/A		18	19	
Mathematics	94.7%			N/A		18	19	
Writing	94.7%			N/A		18	19	
Science	90.9%			N/A		10	11	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			N/A		14	14	

-	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report			Detine	Point Value	Total Dessible	Exercise of the De				
rformance Indicator	-				Rating	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Po				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or davancea was:			Eveneda		10					
Acadamia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	h norcontile of all schools			Exceeds Meets	4	16 (4 for each	15				
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	3	(4 for each	15								
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t	2	content area)									
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	below the 15th percentile of all schools. Does Not Meet 1 the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:										
		ith percentile and its median stud	ient growth percentile	e was:	- Europeda							
	• at or above 60.											
		below 60 but at or above 45.										
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each					
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its mee	lian student growth p	ercentile was:	1	1 .	content area)					
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4						
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its s	tudent growth percer	ntile was:	1	1 .						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup					
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	equate student growth percentile	and its student growt	th percentile was:	Exceeds	1	group in 3 content					
	• at or above 70.	4	areas)									
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3									
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				-	-						
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4		l				
	• above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3						
	 at or above 65% but below 80% 				Approaching	2						
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						12					
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35				
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.				Meets	3	indicator)					
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.				Approaching	2						
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score	was:									
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4						
	• at or above the state average but below 22.				Meets	3						
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.				Approaching	2						
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
-Points for each ne	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment		•		1				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.				
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 609				Performance				
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Improvemen									
		Dr	· ·									
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching • at or above 33% - below 47% Priority Improveme • below 27.5%											
	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround				
ool plan type assig												
	Plan description											
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool may not impleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined tota				
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan. five c	onsecutive years befo	ore the District or Ir	nstitute is requi	red to restruc	ture or close the sch	ool. The five				
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a							مالك مام تعاديد منتاله				

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.