

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*		
Academic Achievement	Approaching	53.1%	(13.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	87.5%	(43.8 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	84.2%	(21.1 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		78.2%	(78.2 out of 100 points)	

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
 ** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement
 The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth
 The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps
 The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

School: BASALT MIDDLE SCHOOL

(3 Year*)**

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	2	4		Approaching	398	59.3%	24
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	398	64.3%	37
Writing	2	4		Approaching	399	48.4%	36
Science	2	4		Approaching	296	36.5%	33
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	362	54	36	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	371	73	67	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	363	54	53	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	13	20	65.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	148	57	49	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	202	54	55	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	55	66	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	185	55	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	152	53	66	No
Mathematics	20	20	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	156	78	78	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	213	77	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	32	93	90	Yes
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	196	78	79	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	160	81	85	No
Writing	14	20	70.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	148	56	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	202	54	71	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	31	84	88	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	185	54	72	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	213	57	73	No
Total	47	60	78.3%	Meets				

<i>Test Participation</i>	<i>% of Students Tested</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Students Tested</i>	<i>Total Students</i>
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	411	412
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	410	412
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	412	412
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	307	307

Performance Indicators

Level: Middle School

School: BASALT MIDDLE SCHOOL

(3 Year*)**

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	826	64.6%	34
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	827	46.9%	40
Writing	2	4		Approaching	826	52.9%	36
Science	3	4		Meets	324	49.1%	51
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	774	65	34	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	776	59	73	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	775	67	61	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	19	20	95.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	252	69	59	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	398	70	58	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	81	68	72	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	367	70	59	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	295	72	66	Yes
Mathematics	16	20	80.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	252	62	85	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	398	59	85	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	82	75	94	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	371	61	86	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	383	65	90	No
Writing	19	20	95.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	253	69	80	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	399	70	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	82	78	90	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	368	71	79	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	378	72	83	No
Total	54	60	90.0%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students
Reading	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	873	875
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	876	876
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	873	875
Science	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	340	342

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16 (4 for each content area)	25	
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2			
• below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth	<i>If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>			12 (4 for each content area)	50	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>			60 (5 for each subgroup group in 3 content areas)	25	
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:</i>					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator		
	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for plan type assignment		
	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total Framework points eligible.	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 59%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement
	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37%	Turnaround

School plan type assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Reference

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.