Level: Elementary School
District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year***)

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%
Funna according to the	

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	38.9%	(9.7 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		61.9%	(61.9 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Elementary School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: BANNING	LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY	- 0555
-----------------	---------------------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	543	72.6%	51	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	540	71.8%	54	
Writing	3	4		Meets	542	60.5%	60	
Science	3	4		Meets	170	47.6%	52	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	322	40	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	322	48	48	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	322	45	37	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	83	34	31	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	21	47	No
English Language Learners	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	30	54	No
Mathematics	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	83	44	51	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	21	44	65	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	82	51	71	No
Writing	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	83	27	35	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4	'	Does Not Meet	21	39	71	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	131	44	59	No
Total	14	36	38.9%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	621	621	
Mathematics	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	618	620	
Writing	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	620	621	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	190	190	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo		
coring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report								
Performance Indicato					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficier	nt or advanced was:			11.00.119					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	ne or advanced mass			Exceeds	4	16			
Academic		below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. Meets 3								
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1!				Approaching	2	(4 for each content area)	25		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Meet	1	,			
	If the school meets the median adequate student gro	owth percentile and its median	student growth percent	ile was:	•					
	• at or above 60.	·	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.	• below 30.								
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	ident growth percentile and its	median student growth	percentile was:	•		content area)			
	• at or above 70.	·			Exceeds	4				
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	† '			
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile and	its student growth perce	entile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth percei	ntile and its student grov	vth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
ut-Points for each pe	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment						
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	e .		
Achievement; •	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement		
•	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme		
•	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
chool plan type assig	gnments									
	Plan description									
		p (p)		ont a Priority Improv	rement and/or T	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total		
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan. A	school may not impiem	ent a Phonty improv	vernerit ana, or i	ai nai oana i	iaii ioi iongei tiiaii a	combined total (
erformance Plan nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement and implemen		ve consecutive years be		-		•			
nprovement Plan		an Improvement Plan. fi	ve consecutive years bet	fore the District or In	nstitute is requir	ed to restruc	ture or close the sch	ool. The five		

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School

District: FALCON 49 - 1110 (3 Year***)

School: BANNING LEWIS RANCH ACADEMY - 0555

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	69.4%	(17.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		73.7%	(73.7 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) Performance Indicators

School:	BANNING	LEWIS RANCH	1 ACADEMY -	0555
---------	---------	-------------	-------------	------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	414	83.8%	84	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	415	55.4%	58	
Writing	3	4		Meets	415	71.6%	80	
Science	3	4		Meets	105	70.5%	88	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	377	61	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	379	50	65	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	378	57	42	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

Acadomic Crowth Cana	Points Earned	Dointe Flimible	% Points	Destina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps		Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growths
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	92	59	27	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	52	51	Yes
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	88	70	57	Yes
Mathematics	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	94	54	74	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	31	47	76	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	153	54	85	No
Writing	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	·	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	94	56	49	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	31	41	75	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	124	71	74	No
Total	25	36	69.4%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	493	495	
Mathematics	99.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	494	495	
Writing	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	494	496	
Science	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	122	123	

Academic Achievement Achievement Achievement Below	Is percentage of students scoring proficient bove the 90th percentile of all schools. The 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. In the 15th percentile	Oth percentile of all schools 5th percentile of all schools owth percentile and its med udent growth percentile and	s. dian studer d its media	an student growth		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Approaching Approaching	4 3 2	16 (4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each content area)	25 50	
Academic Achievement Achievement Achievement Below	bove the 90th percentile of all schools. the 90th percentile but at or above the 50 the 50th percentile but at or above the 15 the 15th percentile of all schools. of meets the median adequate student gro bove 60. 60 but at or above 45. 45 but at or above 30. 30. of does not meet the median adequate stu bove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	Oth percentile of all schools 5th percentile of all schools owth percentile and its med udent growth percentile and	s. dian studer d its media	an student growth		Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Approaching	3 2 t 1	(4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each		
Academic Achievement Achievement Achievement Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Below below below below below ff the stude at or a below	the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. If the 15th percentile of all schools. If meets the median adequate student group bove 60. If the 15th percentile of all schools. If the 15th pe	5th percentile of all schools owth percentile and its med	s. dian studer d its media	an student growth		Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Approaching	3 2 t 1	(4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each		
Achievement • below • below if the school • at or a • below	the 50th percentile but at or above the 15 the 15th percentile of all schools. of meets the median adequate student gro bove 60. 60 but at or above 45. 45 but at or above 30. 30. of does not meet the median adequate stu bove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	5th percentile of all schools owth percentile and its med	s. dian studer d its media	an student growth		Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching	2 t 1 4 3 2 t 1	content area) 12 (4 for each		
• below If the school • at or a • below • below • below If the school • at or a • below	the 15th percentile of all schools. of meets the median adequate student grobove 60. 60 but at or above 45. 45 but at or above 30. 30. of does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	owth percentile and its med	dian studer d its media	an student growth		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching Approaching	t 1 4 3 2 t 1 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 4 3	12 (4 for each	50	
Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Below	of meets the median adequate student grobove 60. 60 but at or above 45. 45 but at or above 30. 30. of does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	udent growth percentile and	d its media	an student growth		Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching	4 3 2 t 1 4 3	(4 for each	50	
Academic Growth Academic Growth Growth Academic Growth Growth Academic Academic Growth Gaps Fight stude at or a below	bove 60. 60 but at or above 45. 45 but at or above 30. 30. 60 does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	udent growth percentile and	d its media	an student growth		Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching	3 2 t 1 4 3	(4 for each	50	
Academic Growth Academic Growth Growth Growth Academic Academic Growth Gaps Figure 1 below Academic Growth Gaps Figure 2 below Academic Growth Gaps Figure 2 below	60 but at or above 45. 45 but at or above 30. 30. 50 does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.				percentile was:	Meets Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching	3 2 t 1 4 3	(4 for each	50	
Academic Growth Growth Fithe school at or a below below below below fithe stude at or a below below below below for the stude at or a below	45 but at or above 30. 30. ol does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.				percentile was:	Approaching Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching	2 t 1 4 3	(4 for each	50	
Academic Growth Fithe school at or a below below below fithe stude at or a below below below below for the stude at or a below below below below below below for the stude at or a below	30. ol does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.				percentile was:	Does Not Mee Exceeds Meets Approaching	t 1 4 3	(4 for each	50	
Growth If the school • at or a • below • below • below If the stude • at or a • below	ol does not meet the median adequate stubove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.				percentile was:	Exceeds Meets Approaching	4 3		50	
• at or a • below • below • below If the stude • at or a • below	bove 70. 70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.				percentile was:	Meets Approaching	3	content area)		
• below • below • below If the stude • at or a • below • below • below • below • below If the stude • at or a • below	70 but at or above 55. 55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	student growth percentile	and its stu	udent arowth nerc		Meets Approaching	3			
Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Figure 1. See The Stude 1. See	55 but at or above 40. 40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	student growth percentile	and its stu	udent arowth nerc		Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Figure 1 below - at or a - below - at or a - below	40. ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	student growth percentile	and its stu	ident arowth nerc			2	1		
Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps If the stude • at or a • below • below • below • below • below	ent subgroup meets the median adequate bove 60.	student growth percentile	and its stu	ident growth nerce					I	
Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps If the stude • at or a • below • below • below • below • below	bove 60.	student growth percentile	and its stu	ident arouth nerce		Does Not Mee	t 1			
Academic Growth Gaps If the stude • at or a • below • below • below • below • below • below				ident growth perce	entile was:					
Academic Growth Gaps If the stude • at or a • below • below • below • below • below						Exceeds	4			
Academic Growth Gaps • below • at or a • below • below • below • below • below	60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	60 (5 for each subgroup						
Growth Gaps If the stude • at or a • below • below • below t-Points for each performance	45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2							
• at or a • below • below • below t-Points for each performance	30.	Does Not Mee	t 1							
below below below t-Points for each performance	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:									
• below • below t-Points for each performance	bove 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)		
• below t-Points for each performance i	70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3			
t-Points for each performance i	55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2			
	40.					Does Not Mee	t 1			
	indicator			Cut-Points for pla	an type assignme	ent				
	chool earned of the points eligible on t	this Indicator.					the total Fra	mework points eligib	ole.	
Achievement; • at or above		Exceeds			at or above				Performance	
Growth; Gaps • at or above	62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		Total Framework	• at or above	47% - below 59%			Improvement	
at or above	37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		Points	at or above	37% - below 47%		Pri	iority Improveme	
• below 37.5%	6	Does Not Meet			• below 37%				Turnaround	
ool plan type assignments										
Plan d										

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.