## School Performance Framework 2010

## School: MONUMENT CHARTER ACADEMY - 5093

Level: Elementary School

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (1 Year\*\*\*)

Performance	Plan	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	93.8%	(23.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	( 41.7 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	100.0%	( 25 out of 25 points )	-
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		90.2%	( 90.2 out of 100 points )	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ifficient numbers o	f students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

## **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### COE Improving Academic Achievemen

## **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato							Level: Ele	ementary Scho
School: MONUMENT	CHARTER ACA							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	258	88.8%	89	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	259	90.4%	91	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	259	79.9%	93	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	80	80.0%	93	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	150	59	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	151	56	34	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	151	68	27	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	/// 0///0	nating				eroman
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		,	-20 500000			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	100.070	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	33	75	56	Yes
Total	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds		,,,		105
Test Participation 9	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.6%				oation Rate Met	262	263	
Mathematics	100.0%				pation Rate Met	263	263	
Writing	99.6%				pation Rate Met	263	264	
Science	100.0%				pation Rate Met	82	82	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo				
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin				
erjormance mail	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			Kuting	Foint Vulue		FIGHTEWORK FOIL				
		• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.										
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50	Oth percentile of all schools.			Exceeds Meets	4	16 (4 for each	25				
Achievement		•		Approaching	2	content area)						
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>				Does Not Meet	1						
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its medic	an student arowth percent	tile was:		-						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident growth percentile and i	its median student growth	n percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.	· · · · ·	-	-	Exceeds	4						
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perc	centile was:								
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3						
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup					
Growth Gaps	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perc	entile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)					
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3						
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligibl	e.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement				
Ī	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemer				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround				
hool plan type a	assignments											
	Plan description											
erformance Plan	· ·	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total				
provement Pla			five consecutive years be				•					
iority Improven	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sur	nmer immed	liately following the i	all in which the				
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it i	s required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan.					

## **Comparison Data**

## Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

## School Performance Framework 2010

## School: MONUMENT CHARTER ACADEMY - 5093

Level: Middle School

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (1 Year\*\*\*)

## **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	( 25 out of 25 points )	-
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	( 45.9 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps			( out of points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		94.5%	( 70.9 out of 75 points )	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ufficient numbers o	f students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Improving Academic

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato							Level	: Middle Scho
School: MONUMENT								(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	158	91.1%	94	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	158	88.0%	97	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	158	88.6%	97	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	40	80.0%	95	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	136	51	14	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	136	68	38	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	136	60	22	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0	·					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0	· · · · ·					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Partici	pation Rate Met	161	161	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Partici	oation Rate Met	161	161	
Writing	100.0%				pation Rate Met	161	161	
Science	100.0%			95% Partici	oation Rate Met	40	40	

coring Guide							Level	Middle Schoo		
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report								
erformance Indic	ator Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point					
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient									
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds Meets	4	16 (4 for each	25				
Academic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.								
Achievement		below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.								
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1							
	If the school meets the median adequate student gro	Exceeds	4							
		• at or above 60.								
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	dent growth percentile and its m	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.	• at or above 70.								
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	below 70 but at or above 55.								
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	-								
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4							
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	• below 60 but at or above 45.								
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median aa	lequate student growth percenti	le and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content			
	• at or above 70.	• at or above 70.								
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3							
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
It-Points for each	n performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment	-		P			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.			ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	6			Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%						
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	Points • at or above 37% - below 47%				iority Improveme		
-	• below 37.5%	• below 37%	Turnarou							
hool plan type a	ssignments									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A so	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Turnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total of		
nprovement Plan			consecutive years before				•			
•	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		,							
Irnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is							

al. Middle Cohool

## **Comparison Data**

## Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.