School Performance Framework 2010

School: PRAIRIE HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6701

Level: Elementary School

District: HANOVER 28 - 1070 (1 Year***)

Pen	ormance Plan	Performance Indicators
and implement. Sch on their overall f	the school is required to adopt tools are assigned a plan based ramework score, which is a	Academic Achievement
the total points e indicator. The overa	total points they earned out of eligible in each performance ill score is then matched to the to determine the plan type.	Academic Growth
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Plan Assignment Performance	Framework Points Earned at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps
		Academic Growth Gaps
Performance Improvement	at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps Test Participation** 95%

below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Academic Achievement		(out of points) (out of points)	
Academic Growth Gaps		(out of points)	
est Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met		
OTAL		(0 out of 0 points)	I

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Vhat do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

COE Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps

Rating/Plan

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

School: PRAIRIE H	EIGHTS ELEMENT	ARY SCHOOL	- 6701					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	,
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Fotal	0	0						
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading					<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics					<20 students	N/A		
Writing					<20 students	N/A		
Total								
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligi	ble				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learner	'S				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catc	h up				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	· ·							
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligi	ble				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learner	s				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catc	h up				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligi	ble				<20 students	N/A		
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learner	s				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total								
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			N/A		8	8	
Mathematics	100.0%			N/A		8	8	
Writing	100.0%			N/A		8	8	
Science				N/A		0	0	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo				
oring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fra	mework Report										
erformance Indi	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficie	he school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:										
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.											
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5 	0th percentile of all schools	•		Meets	3	(4 for each					
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1 		Approaching	2	content area)							
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the school meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its med	lian student growth perce	entile was:								
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3						
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each					
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and	l its median student grow	th percentile was:			content area)					
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4						
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequat	the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 60.	at or above 60.										
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3						
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	þ				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median of	e student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:										
	• at or above 70.											
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	below 70 but at or above 55.										
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	below 55 but at or above 40.										
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
It-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of tl	he total Fram	ework points eligib	le.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemen				
below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 37					Turnaroun							
hool plan type a	assignments											
neer pren-type t	Plan description											
rformance Plan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a Performance Plan	A school may not imple	ment a Priority Impro	vement and/or	Turnaround	Plan for longer than	a combined total				
provement Pla			five consecutive years b	, ,			0					
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	•			•							
Irnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		school is notified that if									

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.