School Performance Framework 2010

School: MITCHELL HIGH SCHOOL - 5948

Improvement Plan

Level: High School

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (3 Year***)

| This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based |
|---|
| on their overall framework score, which is a |
| percentage of the total points they earned out of |
| the total points eligible in each performance |
| indicator. The overall score is then matched to the |
| scoring guide below to determine the plan type. |
| |

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Performance | at or above 60% | A |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% | |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% | _ |
| Turnaround | below 33% | P |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. T For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating/Plan | % of Points | s Earned out of Points Eligible* | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Academic Achievement | Approaching | 50.0% | (7.5 out of 15 points) | |
| Academic Growth | Approaching | 58.3% | (20.4 out of 35 points) | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 56.7% | (8.5 out of 15 points) | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Approaching | 58.3% | (20.4 out of 35 points) | |
| Test Participation** | 95% Participation Rate Met | | | |
| TOTAL | | 56.8% | (56.8 out of 100 points) | |
| * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an incoscores are not negatively impacted. ** Schools do not receive points for test participation. Howe | | | | |

points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates,

Academic

SCHOOLView.org

*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08 Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | Leve | el: High Scho |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| School: MITCHELL HIGH SCHOO | DL - 5948 | | | | | | | (3 Year** |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 1467 | 56.2% | 17 | |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 1479 | 23.0% | 32 | |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 1467 | 31.8% | 16 | |
| Science | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 752 | 35.4% | 24 | |
| Гоtal | 8 | 16 | 50.0% | Approaching | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequat |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 1336 | 52 | 36 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 1348 | 52 | 98 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 1336 | 50 | 80 | No |
| Fotal | 7 | 12 | 58.3% | Approaching | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | Subgroup N | Subgroup Median Growth Percentile | Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Made Adequate Growth? |
| Reading | 12 | 20 | 60.0% | Approaching | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 765 | 53 | 55 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 708 | 52 | 57 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 102 | 48 | 95 | No |
| English Language Learners | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 270 | 58 | 81 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 584 | 56 | 84 | No |
| Mathematics | 11 | 20 | 55.0% | Approaching | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 772 | 51 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 716 | 49 | 99 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 103 | 40 | 99 | No |
| English Language Learners | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 273 | 49 | 99 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 912 | 55 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 11 | 20 | 55.0% | Approaching | - | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 764 | 50 | 89 | No |
| Minority Students | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 708 | 50 | 89 | No |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 102 | 43 | 99 | No |
| English Language Learners | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 270 | 56 | 97 | No |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 838 | 51 | 95 | No |
| Total | 34 | 60 | 56.7% | Approaching | | | | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation | |
| Graduation Rate | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 1037 | 73.6% | 80% | |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 5522 | 3.9% | At/below State average | |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 710 | 17.6% | At/above State average | |
| Total | 7 | 12 | 58.3% | Approaching | | | | |
| Test Participation 9 | % of Students Tested | 1 | | Rating | | Students Tested | Total Students | |
| Reading | 98.5% | | | 95% Participation | Rate Met | 1652 | 1677 | |
| Mathematics | 98.9% | | | 95% Participation | Rate Met | 1667 | 1686 | |
| Writing | 98.4% | | | 95% Participation | | 1651 | 1677 | |
| Science | 98.4% | | | 95% Participation | Rate Met | 832 | 846 | |
| Colorado ACT | 95.3% | | | 95% Participation | Rate Met | 710 | 745 | |

| Academic Achievement Achievement Achievement | A hool's percentage of students scoring proficient or above the 90th percentile of all schools. A low the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th allow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th allow the 50th percentile of all schools. A school meets the median adequate student grow or above 60. A low 60 but at or above 45. A low 45 but at or above 30. A low 30. A school does not meet the median adequate student or above 70. A low 70 but at or above 55. A low 40. A low 40. A student subgroup meets the median adequate student or above 60. A low 40. A low 40 | th percentile of all schools. th percentile of all schools. wth percentile and its median sta lent growth percentile and its m | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Rating Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meets Meets Approaching Does Not Meets Approaching Does Not Meets Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meets Approaching Does Not Meets Approaching Does Not Meets | Point Value 4 3 2 1 4 3 2 2 1 4 3 2 2 1 4 3 2 2 2 1 4 3 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | Total Possible 16 (4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each content area) | Framework Pol | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Academic Achievement Achievement Achievement | or above the 90th percentile of all schools. elow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th elow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th elow the 15th percentile of all schools. school meets the median adequate student grow or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate stude or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 55. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate student or above 60. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate state or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate state or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | th percentile of all schools. th percentile of all schools. wth percentile and its median sta lent growth percentile and its m | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 3 2 1 4 3 2 1 4 3 | (4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each | | | | | | | | |
| Academic Achievement Achievement Achievement | elow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th elow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th elow the 50th percentile of all schools. school meets the median adequate student grow or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate stud or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate stud or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | th percentile of all schools. wth percentile and its median str wth percentile and its median str lent growth percentile and its m | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 2 1 4 3 2 1 4 3 | (4 for each content area) 12 (4 for each | | | | | | | | |
| Achievement Achievement bel bel ff the s a t bel bel bel bel bel bel bel be | elow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th elow the 15th percentile of all schools. school meets the median adequate student grow or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate student or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | th percentile of all schools. wth percentile and its median str wth percentile and its median str lent growth percentile and its m | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 1 4 3 2 1 4 3 | content area) 12 (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Growth Gaps Growth Gaps Growth Gaps Growth Gaps Growth Gaduc Ca | elow the 15th percentile of all schools. school meets the median adequate student grow or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate stud- or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median adec or above 70. | wth percentile and its median st | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Does Not Meet Exceeds Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 1 4 3 2 1 4 3 | 12 (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Academic Growth Gaps Academic Academic Growth Gaps Academic Academic Growth Gaps Academic Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Academic Browth Gaps Browth Brow | school meets the median adequate student grow or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate stud or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | lent growth percentile and its m | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Exceeds Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 3 2 1 4 3 | (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Academic Growth If the s at a bel bel bel bel bel bel bel bel | or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate stud or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | lent growth percentile and its m | edian student growth p | ercentile was: | Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 3 2 1 4 3 | (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth | elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate stud or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | Meets Approaching Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 2 1 4 3 | (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth | elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate study or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 1 4 3 | (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth | elow 30. school does not meet the median adequate study or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | Does Not Meet Exceeds Meets Approaching | 1 4 3 | (4 for each | 35 | | | | | | | |
| Growth If the s a ta bei | school does not meet the median adequate stud or above 70. Flow 70 but at or above 55. Flow 55 but at or above 40. Flow | | | | Exceeds Meets Approaching | 4 3 | 1 1 | | | | | | | | |
| • at 0 • bel • bel< | or above 70. elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | Meets Approaching | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| bel bel | elow 70 but at or above 55. elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | tudent growth percentile and its | s student growth percen | tile was: | Meets Approaching | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | elow 55 but at or above 40. elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | tudent growth percentile and its | s student growth percen | tile was: | Approaching | | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | elow 40. student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | tudent growth percentile and its | s student growth percen | tile was: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| If the s at i bel bel bel Growth Gaps If the s at i bel bel bel Growth Gaps bel | student subgroup meets the median adequate st or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | tudent growth percentile and its | s student growth percen | tile was: | Does not meet | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps <i>If the s</i> • bel • | or above 60. elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | elow 60 but at or above 45. elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | elow 45 but at or above 30. elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic • bei Growth Gaps // f the s • at a • bei • bei | elow 30. student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Growth Gaps If the s at a bel bel bel bel Gradue at a bel | student subgroup does not meet the median ade or above 70. | | below 45 bit at of above 50. below 30. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • at • bel • bel • bel • bel • at • ab • at | or above 70. | equate student arowth percenti | e and its student arowt | h nercentile was: | Does Not Meet | 1 | (5 for each subgroup group in 3 content areas) | 15 | | | | | | | |
| • bel • bel • bel • draduc • at • ab | | equate statem growth percention | e una no stadent growt | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| • bel • bel Gradua • at • ab | elow 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | 3 | urcus, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • bel Gradua • at • ab | elow 55 but at or above 40. | | Approaching | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gradua • at • ab | elow 40. | Does Not Meet | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • at • ab | ation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: | 1 - | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • ab | or above 90%. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| • at | ove 80% but below 90%. | | Meets | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | or above 65% but below 80% | Approaching | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | elow 65%. | Does Not Meet | 1 | | 35 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ut Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | Does Not Meet | 1 - | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | or below 1%. | Exceeds | 4 | (4 for each sub- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | or below the state average but above 1%. | Meets | 3 | indicator) | 55 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | or below 10% but above the state average. or above 10%. | Approaching Does Not Meet | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ge Colorado ACT Composite: The school's averag | Does Not Meet | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | or above 22. | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | or above the state average but below 22. | | Meets | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | or above 17 but below the state average. | Approaching Does Not Meet | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | or below 17. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -Points for each performar | | | Cut-Points for plan | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The school earned of the points eligible on the | 1 | | | | the total Fra | mework points eligi | | | | | | | | |
| | bove 87.5% | Exceeds | | at or above 609 at or above 479 | | | | Performance | | | | | | | |
| | bove 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | | | Improvemen | | | | | | | | | |
| | bove 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching Does Not Meet | Points | at or above 339 below 33% | Pr | riority Improver | | | | | | | | | |
| • below 3 | 37.5% | | Turnaround | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ool plan type assignments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pla | an description | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| formance Plan Th | ne school is required to adopt and implement a f | Performance Plan. A so | hool may not impleme | nt a Priority Impro | vement and/or T | urnaround F | Plan for longer than a | combined tota | | | | | | | |
| | and the second and th | | consecutive years befo | re the District or I | nstitute is require | ed to restruc | ture or close the sch | ool. The five | | | | | | | |

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

| | Elem | Middle | High |
|-----------------|------|--------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|------|
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

| | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|-----------------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 | |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 | |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 | |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 | |

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

| | N of Students | Mean Dropout Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

| State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | N of Students | Mean Score | | | | | | | |
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 | | | | | | | |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 | | | | | | | |

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.