School Performance Framework 2010

School: ACHIEVEK12 - 0269

Level: Elementary School

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (1 Year***)

Improvement Plan (Revised)	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	Academic Achievement		(out of points)
percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.	Academic Growth		(out of points)
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned			
Performance at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps		(out of points)
Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%			
Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	Test Participation** 9	5% Participation Rate Met	
Turnaround below 37%			
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.			(0 out of 0 points)
For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic			ufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned a
· · ·			

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indica								ementary Scho
School: ACHIEVEK1	2 - 0269							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading					<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics					<20 students	N/A		
Writing					<20 students	N/A		
Total								
				- <i></i>	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	NN	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligibl	e				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch u	updt				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligibl	e				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch u	upq				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligibl	e				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch u	μ				<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total								
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	I		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			N/A		5	5	
Mathematics	100.0%			N/A		7	7	
Writing	100.0%			N/A		7	7	
Science	100.0%			N/A		3	3	

coring Guide								Level: Ele	mentary Schoo	
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report					a			
rformance Indic	cator Scoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	it or davanced was:				Eveneda	4	10		
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5 	Oth parcaptila of all schools				Exceeds Meets	3	16 (4 for each		
Academic		•				Approaching	2	content area)		
Acmevement	 below the 15th percentile but at of above the 1 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 		•			Does Not Mee	t 1	content area)		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth perceptile and its medi	lian stude	ont arowth nercent	ile was:	Does Not Mee	<u>ų 1</u>			
	• at or above 60.	Swin percentile and its mean	iun stude	int growth percent	ine was.	Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.					Meets	3			
	 below 45 but at or above 45. 				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Mee	-	(4 for each		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident arowth percentile and	l its medi	an student arowth	nercentile was:	Does Not Mice	4 <u>-</u>	content area)		
Growth	• at or above 70.		ine mean	an statent growth	percentile was.	Exceeds	4	content areay		
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2			
	• below 40.					Does Not Mee				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student arowth percentile a	and its stu	udent arowth perc	entile was:		<u> </u>			
		• at or above 60.								
	below 60 but at or above 45.									
	below 45 but at or above 30.									
Academic	• below 30.									
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	f the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:								
•	• at or above 70.									
	• below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2			
	• below 40.					Does Not Mee	t 1			
t-Points for eac	h performance indicator		С	ut-Points for plan	type assignment				-	
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.				ol earned of t	he total Fram	nework points eligib	e.	
chievement:	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 59%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Performance	
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pr	iority Improvement	
-	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			• below 37%				Turnaround	
nool plan type a	assignments									
	Plan description									
rformance Plan		a Performance Plan.	A schoo	ol may not implem	ent a Priority Impro	vement and/or	Turnaround F	Plan for longer than a	a combined total of	
provement Plar	· · · ·				· · ·			cture or close the sch		
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	•		•		•				
rnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement							or Turnaround Plan.		

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem Middle High			Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mea	n) Colorado ACT C	omposite Score
	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

School Performance Framework 2010

School: ACHIEVEK12 - 0269

Academic Growth Gaps.

				•				
Improvement Plan (Revised)	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*					
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	Academic Achievement		(out of points)					
percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.	Acadomic Crowth	cademic Growth (out of points)						
Plan AssignmentFramework Points EarnedPerformanceat or above 59%			(out of points)					
Improvementat or above 47% - below 59%Priority Improvementat or above 37% - below 47%		95% Participation Rate Met	:					
Turnaroundbelow 37%Frameworkpointsarecalculatedusingthe			(0 out of 0 points)	I				
percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for	* Schools may not be eligible for al the points eligible, so scores are no	or negatively impacted. In test participation. However, schools that	ufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed fro do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are	·				

category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.



Level: Middle School

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (1 Year***)

Performance Indicato							Leve	I: Middle Schoo
School: ACHIEVEK12	- 0269							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0						
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Doints	Dating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	0		% Points	Rating		Percentile	Percentile	Growth
Reading	-			N1 / A	20	N1/A	51/6	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	<u> </u>	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0				<20 students	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N/A	
English Language Learners	-	0		N/A N/A	<20 students <20 students	N/A N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up				N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up		0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up		0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
Test Participation	% of Students Teste	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	95.4%			95% Partic	cipation Rate Met	42	44	
Mathematics	95.4%			95% Partic	cipation Rate Met	42	44	
Writing	95.4%			95% Partic	cipation Rate Met	42	44	
Science	100.0%			95% Partic	cipation Rate Met	25	25	

Scoring Guide							Level:	Middle Schoo		
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
Performance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:			-	·				
	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools. 				Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t 	•			Meets	3	(4 for each			
Achievement		h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	wth percentile and its median s	tudent growth percentil	e was:	1	r				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3	12					
		below 45 but at or above 30.								
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stua	lent growth percentile and its n	nedian student growth p	percentile was:	•	•	content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and it	ts student growth perce	ntile was:	1	r				
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds Meets	4						
		below 60 but at or above 45.								
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup group in 3 content			
Growth Gaps		the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:								
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
ut-Points for each	n performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 59% 	6			Performance		
Growth; Gaps	 at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% 	Meets	Total Framework	 at or above 47% 	6 - below 59%			Improvement		
	 at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% 	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% 	6 - below 47%		Pr Pr	iority Improveme		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		 below 37% 				Turnaround		
hool plan type a	ssignments									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		chool may not impleme				•			
nprovement Plan			e consecutive years before		•					
· ·	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		nsecutive school years o		•		, .	all in which the		
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Turnaround Plan sch	nool is notified that it is	required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan			

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem Middle High			Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score			
	N of Students	Mean Score	
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0	
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1	

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.