Level: Elementary School

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year\*\*\*)

# School: NORTH STAR ACADEMY - 1579

This is the other hand the color of the other day of the								
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt								
and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based								
on their overall framework score, which is a								
percentage of the total points they earned out of								
the total points eligible in each performance								
indicator. The overall score is then matched to the								
scoring guide below to determine the plan type.								

**Performance Plan** 

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

TOTAL

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. \*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	( 20.3 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	( 45.9 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.2%	( 18.1 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		84.3%	( 84.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Elementary School (3 Year\*\*\*) **Performance Indicators** 

School:	NORTH	STAR ACADE	MY - 1579
---------	-------	------------	-----------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N % Proficient/Advanced		School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	650	86.8%	87	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	650	82.8%	80	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	650	77.5%	90	
Science	3	4		Meets	142	65.5%	82	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	383	58	22	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	383	63	44	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	383	62	32	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	56	53	28	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	55	56	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	63	65	56	Yes
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	56	57	51	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	32	65	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	71	65	70	No
Writing	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	56	61	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	39	63	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	109	63	58	Yes
Total	26	36	72.2%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	660	662	
Mathematics	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	660	662	
Writing	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	660	662	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	146	146	

Scoring Guide							Level: Ele	nentary Schoo				
coring Guide for P	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
Performance Indica					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin				
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			1.209							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	it or advanced tras			Exceeds	4	16					
Academic	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.  Meets  3										
Achievement	·	elow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.  Approaching 2										
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	•			Does Not Meet	1	,					
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median	student growth percenti	ile was:								
	• at or above 60.	•	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its	median student growth	percentile was:	•		content area)					
	• at or above 70.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Exceeds	4						
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile and	l its student growth perce	entile was:								
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	7					
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup					
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth percei	ntile and its student grov	vth percentile was:	•		group in 3 content	25				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1						
ut-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment								
	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	ol earned of th	e total Fram	ework points eligible	<u>.</u>				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improveme				
	• below 37.5%		Turnaround									
chool plan type as	signments											
	Plan description											
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total				
mprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		ive consecutive years bet		•		•					
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	·	•		•							
riority improveme	File Flaming School is required to adopt and implement	a Priority improvement Plan. K	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sun	nmer immed	lately following the f	all ill willcii tile				

### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

**Level: Middle School** 

School: NORTH STAR ACADEMY - 1579 District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year\*\*\*)

Performance Plan
------------------

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

TOTAL

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. \*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. Ho category lower than their points indicate.

TOTAL		94.4%	( 70.8 out of 75 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
Academic Growth Gaps			( out of points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	100.0%	( 50 out of 50 points )	
Academic Achievement	Meets	83.3%	( 20.8 out of 25 points )	
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year\*\*\*) Performance Indicators

School: NORTH STAR ACADEMY - 1579

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	73	86.3%	87	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	73	78.1%	92	
Writing	3	4		Meets	73	76.7%	87	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	73	61	18	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	73	75	49	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	73	60	37	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate	
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?	
Reading	0	0							
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
English Language Learners	0	0	-	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0							
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Writing	0	0							
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A		
Total	0	0							

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	73	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	73	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	73	73	
Science		N/A	0	0	

oring Guide	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	Surgel Banaut						Middle Scho				
	ator Scoring Guide	еworк керогт			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin				
jornance maic		or advanced was:			Kuting	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Framework Poin				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:  • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.  Exceeds 4										
Academic	· ·	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.  • below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.  Meets										
Achievement	'	· ·			Approaching	3 2	(4 for each content area)	25				
Acmevement	below the 35th percentile but at or above the 15th     below the 15th percentile of all schools.	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.      below the 15th percentile of all schools.										
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth nercentile and its median st	udent arowth nercentil	e mac.	Does Not Mee	t 1						
	• at or above 60.	ven percentile una les mealan se	adent growth percentil	c was.	Exceeds	4						
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 45.      below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	+	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent arowth nercentile and its m	nedian student arowth r	nercentile was:	DOCS NOT WICE	<u>ч</u>	content area)					
G.O.T.	• at or above 70.	ent growin percentile and its in	icaian staacht growth p	sercentile was.	Exceeds	1 4	content area;					
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3						
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	<del> </del>						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent arowth percentile and it	s student arowth perce	ntile was:	12000110011100	1 -						
	• at or above 60.		o out the second grown in provide		Exceeds	T 4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	,				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth percenti	le and its student grow	th percentile was:	•		group in 3 content					
·	• at or above 70.			•	Exceeds	4	areas)					
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	,					
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2						
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1						
-Points for each	n performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment	'							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligit	ole.				
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	• at or above 59%			,	Performance					
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement				
, , <u>,</u>	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	• at or above 37%	in the second se									
	• below 37.5%	• below 37%	Turnaround									
ool plan type a		Does Not Meet										
co-pien cype a	Plan description											
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a				.,		Plan for longer than a					

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.