School Performance Framework 2010

School: ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0011

Level: Elementary School

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Fr	amework Po	oints Ea	rned	
Performance		at o	r above	59%	Aca
Improvement	at or	above 47%	- below	59%	
Priority Improvement	at or	above 37%	- below	47%	Tes
Turnaround			below	37%	
Framework points	are	calculated	using	the	тот

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(45.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.2%	(18.1 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		82.8%	(82.8 out of 100 points)	

* Sc the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato	ors						Level: Ele	ementary Scho
School: ACADEMY CI	HARTER SCHOO)L - 0011						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	536	83.8%	79	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	535	84.9%	84	
Writing	3	4		Meets	536	66.2%	73	
Science	3	4		Meets	177	57.6%	69	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	354	64	28	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	352	67	45	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	354	56	37	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	50	61	37	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	38	67	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	81	63	60	Yes
Mathematics	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	50	61	51	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	51	74	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	61	65	74	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	50	44	47	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	44	76	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	147	62	56	Yes
Total	26	36	72.2%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	541	541	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participatio		542	542	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participatio	on Rate Met	542	542	
Science	100.0%			95% Participatio		180	180	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
erjormance mail	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			Kuting	Foint Vulue		FIGHTEWORK FOIL
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50	Oth percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement		•			Approaching	2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 				Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its medic	an student arowth percent	tile was:				
	• at or above 60.	•			Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		1
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident growth percentile and i	n percentile was:			content area)		
	• at or above 70.	· · · · ·	-	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2			
	• below 40.			Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perc	centile was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perc	entile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1		
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligibl	e.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement
Ī	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemer
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround
hool plan type a	assignments							
	Plan description							
erformance Plan	· ·	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total
provement Pla			five consecutive years be				•	
iority Improven	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sur	nmer immed	liately following the i	all in which the
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it i	s required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan.	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mea	n) Colorado ACT C	omposite Score		
	N of Students	Mean Score		
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0		
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1		

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

School Performance Framework 2010

School: ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0011

Level: Middle School

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Fr	amework Po	oints Ea	rned	
Performance		at o	r above	59%	Aca
Improvement	at or	above 47%	- below	59%	
Priority Improvement	t at or	above 37%	- below	47%	Tes
Turnaround			below	37%	
Framework points	are	calculated	using	the	то

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(45.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	77.1%	(19.3 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		84.0%	(84 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insu	ufficient numbers o	f students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

* Sc the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.



Performance Indicato	rs						Leve	: Middle Scho
School: ACADEMY CH	IARTER SCHOO	L - 0011						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	503	86.3%	87	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	503	73.8%	89	
Writing	3	4		Meets	503	74.6%	85	
Science	3	4		Meets	139	59.7%	72	
Fotal	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	473	55	19	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	473	63	53	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	473	61	42	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets			i créciture	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	75.070	Meets	20	55	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	62	59	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	44	64	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	110
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	73	63	55	Yes
Mathematics	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	, 3.070	Exceeds	20	63	62	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	62	58	64	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	42	90	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	114	60	82	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets	111			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	01.570	Exceeds	20	67	48	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	62	58	46	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	28	64	83	No
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<pre>20 </pre>	N/A	N/A	NO
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	131	63	73	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets	151	03	75	110
Test Participation 9	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.8%	-		95% Participa	tion Rate Met	504	510	
Mathematics	98.8%			95% Participa		504	510	
Writing	98.8%			95% Participa		504	510	
Science	97.9%				tion Rate Met	140	143	

coring Guide								Level:	Middle Schoo
oring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report							
erformance Indic	ator Scoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	t or advanced was:							
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.					Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50 	th percentile of all schools.				Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15	th percentile of all schools.				Approaching	2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 					Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median	n student growth per	rcentile	e was:				
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 					Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 					Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	dent growth percentile and its	s median student gro	owth p	ercentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.			Exceeds	4				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 					Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 					Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	student growth percentile and	d its student growth	percer	ntile was:	-			
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 					Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 					Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not			1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth perce	ntile and its student	growt	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 					Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 					Approaching	2		
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1		
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points fo	or plar	n type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on tl	nis Indicator.		0	Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	nework points eligit	ole.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 59%	6			Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Frame	work	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	-	• at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		-	• below 37%				Turnaround
hool plan type a	ssignments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	-	Performance Plan.	A school may not imi	pleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	urnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total of
nprovement Plar			, ,		, ,			ture or close the sch	
•	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a								
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a					•		or Turnaround Plan.	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.