District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

School: SLAVENS K-8 SCHOOL - 7972

Performance	P	lan
. ci i oi i i i ai i c	•	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne				
Performance	at or above 59%				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%				
Turnaround	below 37%				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	_
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(45.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	87.5%	(21.9 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		92.8%	(92.8 out of 100 points)	

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators

Level: Elementary School

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	152	92.1%	95	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	154	96.8%	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	154	85.1%	97	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	54	79.6%	93	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	99	52	17	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	101	65	25	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	101	59	33	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	ì	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	28	70	61	Yes
Total	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	156	158	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	158	158	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	158	158	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	55	55	

Level: Middle School (1 Year***) Performance Indicators

School: SLAVENS K-8 SCHOOL	(1 Year**
	1- 1

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	145	93.1%	96	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	145	90.3%	98	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	145	87.6%	96	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	43	83.7%	97	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	141	67	13	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	140	70	28	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	141	71	32	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	21	60	26	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	3	4	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	20	59	54	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	3	4	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	21	56	42	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	145	145	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	43	43	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

ring Guide for D	erformance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Poport						evei. All Leve			
	tor Scoring Guide	work keport			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poir			
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:					1000110000000				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.									
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	n percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	rth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile wo	15:		•					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4					
	• below 60 but at or above 45.										
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth perce	entile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	below 55 but at or above 40.	below 55 but at or above 40.									
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1							
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its	student growth percentile	was:							
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4					
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup				
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	quate student growth percentil	e and its student growth po	ercentile was:			group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3					
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1					
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	pe assignment							
c	ut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.	Cut	Point: The school	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligi	ble.			
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	•	at or above 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework •	at or above 47%	- below 59%		Total Framework • at or above 47% - below 59% Improv				

Cut-Points for each performance indicator						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds				
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet				

Cut-Points for plan type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
	• at or above 59%	Performance				
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement				
Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37%	Turnaround				

School plan type assignmen	nts
	Plan description
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)


	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.