Level: High School

School: PREP ASSESSMENT CENTER - 7163 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

Priority	/ Improvement Pla	n (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive a default plan type of Improvement Plan, unless their district indicates otherwise. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earne	d
Performance	at or above 609	%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 609	%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 479	%
Turnaround	below 339	%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement			(out of points)
Academic Growth			(out of points)
Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	37.5%	(13.1 out of 35 points)
Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		37.4%	(13.1 out of 35 points)

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08
Final plan type based on: SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: High School

School:	PREP	ASSESSMENT	CENTER -	- 7163
---------	------	-------------------	----------	--------

((3)	Yea	**)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0						

Academic Crowth Cana	Points Earned	Dointe Flimible	0/ Doints	Datina	Cubarana Al	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	0.0%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	434	6.9%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite							At/above State average
Total	3	8	37.5%	Approaching			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	87.6%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	85	97	
Mathematics	87.6%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	85	97	
Writing	91.7%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	88	96	
Science	85.7%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	18	21	
Colorado ACT					

Scoring Guide Level: High School

Scoring Guide for Perfo	rmance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report				
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approachir	g 2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not M	eet 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student grow	th percentile was:			
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approachir	g 2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not M	eet 1	(4 for each	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median stude	nt growth percentile was:		content area)	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approachir	g 2		
	• below 40.	Does Not M	eet 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student gr	owth percentile was:	<u> </u>		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approachir	g 2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not M	eet 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its sto	ident growth percentile was:		group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approachir	g 2		
	• below 40.	Does Not M	eet 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	<u> </u>	•		
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approachir	g 2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not M	et 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	<u> </u>	_	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approachir	g 2	'	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not M	<u> </u>		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:				
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approachir			
	• at or below 17.	Does Not M	0		
Cut-Points for each per		ints for plan type assignment			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator								
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.								
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds						
Growth; Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets						
Postsecondary	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching						
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet						

Cut-Points for plan type assignment									
	ut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.								
	• at or above 60%	Performance							
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement							
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement							
	• below 33%	Turnaround							

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Elem Middle High			Elem Middle High			Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

School: PREP ASSESSMENT CENTER - 7163 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

Priority Improvement Plan (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive a default plan type of Improvement Plan, unless their district indicates otherwise. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*
Academic Achievement		(out of points)
Academic Growth		(out of points)
Academic Growth Gaps		(out of points)
Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	
TOTAL		(0 out of 0 points)

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08

Final plan type based on: SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School:	PREP ASSESSIV	IENT CENTER	- 7163
---------	---------------	-------------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

							Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points Ratii	ng N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0	N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0	N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0					

				,		Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0	'	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	91.0%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	151	166	
Mathematics	89.2%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	148	166	
Writing	92.2%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	154	167	
Science	87.5%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	70	80	

	erformance Indicators on the School Performance France Fra	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin	
Tjormance maice	The school's percentage of students scoring proficie	ent or advanced was:			Kuting	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Fruitiework Point	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	nt or davancea was.			Exceeds	4	16		
Academic		below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.							
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 5 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 1		Meets Approaching	3 2	(4 for each content area)				
Acmevement	below the 35th percentile of all schools.	Stri percentine of an sendois.			Does Not Meet	1	content area;		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median s	tudent arowth percentile		DOCS NOT WICE				
	• at or above 60.	one percentile and no measure	tadent growen percentil		Exceeds	4			
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3			
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its r	nedian student growth p	ercentile was:	•		content area)		
	• at or above 70.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4	,		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	e student growth percentile and i	ts student growth percei	ntile was:					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	60 (5 for each subgroup		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1			
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median o	adequate student growth percent	ile and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)		
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3			
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2			
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1			
t-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligib		
Achievement;	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance	
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%							Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 3						Pr	iority Improveme	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround	
ool plan type as									
	Plan description								

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math Wr			Writing	ting		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

					7 00 0 1							
	Reading			Reading Math Writing				Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.