District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year\*\*\*)

School: MARTIN LUTHER KING MIDDLE COLLEGE - 5605

## **Improvement Plan** (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned				
Performance	at or above 60%				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%				
Turnaround	below 33%				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	34.4%	( 5.2 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	62.5%	( 21.9 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.0%	( 9.5 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	62.5%	( 21.9 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		58.5%	( 58.5 out of 100 points )	

<sup>\*</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





\*\*\* Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (1 Year\*\*\*) **Performance Indicators** 

School: MARTIN LUTHER KING MIDDLI	COLLEGE
-----------------------------------	---------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	682	37.2%	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	684	22.2%	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	683	23.3%	5	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	242	10.3%	3	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	639	50	58	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	639	41	89	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	640	47	83	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	_	Approaching	499	51	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	594	51	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	78	53	91	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	337	52	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	421	54	71	No
Mathematics	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	_	Approaching	499	41	91	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	594	41	90	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	78	50	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	337	42	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	422	48	97	No
Writing	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	500	47	84	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	595	46	83	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	78	48	96	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	338	46	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	455	48	88	No
Total	30	60	50.0%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	757	761	
Mathematics	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	759	761	
Writing	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	759	761	
Science	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	270	271	

# Level: High School (1 Year\*\*\*) **Performance Indicators**

School:	MARTIN LU	JTHER KING	MIDDLE	COLLEGE
---------	-----------	------------	--------	---------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	207	66.2%	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	206	18.9%	18	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	207	28.0%	12	
Science	2	4		Approaching	96	31.2%	20	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				

						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	193	64	37	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	193	62	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	193	54	78	No
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds		Crown reference	Growen's creening	Crossess
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	136	64	42	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	173	63	38	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	111	57	42	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	93	71	69	Yes
Mathematics	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	136	62	98	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	173	62	98	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	111	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	137	64	99	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	136	51	83	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	173	56	81	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	111	58	84	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	116	59	90	No
Total	38	48	79.2%	Meets				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate							80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	671	1.0%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	77	16.8	At/above State average
Total	5	8	62.5%	Meets			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	215	215	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	214	215	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	215	215	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	97	97	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	77	77	

Scoring Guide

Level: All Levels

rformance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
rjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kating	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Framework Poli
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools.      below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2	content area)	15
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1	content area;	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile w		ч -		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 45.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee		(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile		_	content area)	
G. G. 1. 1. 1.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	content area,	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentil				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee		(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth p		group in 3 content	15	
•	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	<u> </u>			
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	<u> </u>	•	12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		•		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		1

Cut-Points for each performance indicator								
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.								
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds						
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets						
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching						
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet						

Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 60%	Performance					
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement					
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	<b>Priority Improvement</b>					
	• below 33%	Turnaround					

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
<b>Priority Improvement Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading		•	Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		Reading	eading Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.