School Performance Framework 2010

Level: High School

School: JUSTICE HIGH SCHOOL DENVER - 4494

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year***)

Performance Plan (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive a default plan type of Improvement Plan, unless their district indicates otherwise. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned Performance at or above 60% at or above 47% - below 60% Improvement Priority Improvement at or above 33% - below 47% Turnaround below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth			(out of points)	
Academic Growth Gaps			(out of points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		25.2%	(12.6 out of 50 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an inc scores are not negatively impacted.	dicator due to insufficient numbers of stude	ents. In these cases	s, the points are removed from both the poi	nts earned and the points eligible, so

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates,

Academic

*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: SPF report.

Performance Indicators School: JUSTICE HIGH SCHOOL		1						: High Scho (1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	+ Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(I fear
Reading	1	4	70 FUIIIIS	Does Not Meet	26	15.4%	N/A	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	0.0%	0	
	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	7.7%	0	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	0N/A	
Science Fotal	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet		IN/A	N/A	
	5	12	23.070	Dues Not Meet				
				_		Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0						
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		·				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		,		,		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0				11/7		
Destances dama and Markfords Desdiness	Deinte Formed	Dointe Flinible	0/ Deinte	Dation	A.	Date (Ceene	Adinimum State Function	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation 80%	
Graduation Rate Dropout Rate							At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	14.9%	At/above State average	
Total	1	4	25.0%	Does Not Meet	21	14.570	Allabove State average	
						<i></i>		
	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	95.2%			95% Participation R		40	42	
Mathematics	95.4%			95% Participation R		41	43	
Writing	95.2%			95% Participation R	late Met	40	42	
Science	94.7%			N/A		18	19	
Colorado ACT	100.0%			95% Participation R	late Met	21	21	

	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report			Detting	Point Value	Total Dessible	France ou state De		
rformance Indicato	-				Rating	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Po		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or davancea was:			Exceeds	4	10			
Acadamia	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	16 (4 for each	15							
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	(4 for each	15							
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t	content area)								
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools.	t 1								
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	ith percentile and its median stud	ient growth percentile	e was:	Exceeds	4				
	• at or above 60.									
	below 60 but at or above 45.	3	12							
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	ent growth percentile and its mee	lian student growth p	ercentile was:	1	T .	content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3				
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its s	tudent growth percer	itile was:	1	1 .				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60 (5 for each subgroup			
Academic		• below 30. Does Not Meet 1								
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	equate student growth percentile	and its student growt	h percentile was:	1		group in 3 content areas)			
		• at or above 70. Exceeds 4 • below 70 but at or above 55. Meets 3								
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	3								
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				-	-				
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4				
	• above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3				
	 at or above 65% but below 80% 				Approaching	2				
	• below 65%.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						12			
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35		
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%.				Meets	3	indicator)			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average.				Approaching	2				
	• at or above 10%.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score	was:							
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds	4				
	• at or above the state average but below 22.				Meets	3				
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.				Approaching	2				
	• at or below 17.				Does Not Mee	t 1				
t-Points for each ne	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment	1	-				
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.				the total Fra	mework points eligil	hle.		
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance		
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%		Improvemen							
			Dr							
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching • at or above 33% - below 47% Priority Improven • below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33% - below 47% Priority Improven									
		Does Not Meet		• below 33%				Turnaround		
ool plan type assig										
	Plan description									
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool may not impleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Turnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined tot		
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an	Improvement Plan. five c	onsecutive years befo	re the District or Ir	nstitute is requi	red to restruc	ture or close the sch	ool. The five		
	Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a					n na a r ina na a d		مالج مام تعاديد منتالم		

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.