School Performance Framework 2010

Level: High School

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

School: FLORENCE CRITTENTON HIGH SCHOOL - 3000

Priority Improvement Plan (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive a default plan type of Improvement Plan, unless their district indicates otherwise. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Plan AssignmentFramework Points EarnedPerformanceat or above 60%Improvementat or above 47% - below 60%Priority Improvementat or above 33% - below 47%Turnaroundbelow 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*				
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)				
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)				
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)				
Test Participation**	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate						
TOTAL * Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an ind	licator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cas	37.6% es, the points are	(37.6 out of 100 points) e removed from both the points earned and th	ne points eligible, so scores are not			
negatively impacted. ** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.							

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.



SCHOOL**view**.org

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08 Final plan type based on: SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Leve	I: High Schoo
School: FLORENCE CRITTENTON	N HIGH SCHOO							(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	142	34.5%	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	2.7%	2	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	142	14.8%	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	1.2%	0	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	53	50	79	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	32	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	53	58	96	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
								Made
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N .	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	47	53	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	50	52	80	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	37	46	88	No
Mathematics	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet	3,	10		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	23.070	Does Not Meet	49	37	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet		34	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students			INU
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	33	99	No
	9		75.00/		52		55	INU
Writing		12	75.0%	Meets	47	50		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	47	58	96	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	50	59	96	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	NL -
Students needing to catch up Total	3	<u> </u>	50.0%	Meets	46	59	97	No
	10	30	50.076	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	216	0.5%	80%	
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	1055	22.4%	At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	84	14.5%	At/above State average	
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	75.2%			Does Not Meet 95%	% Participation Rate	203	270	
Mathematics	76.4%			Does Not Meet 95%	% Participation Rate	207	271	
Writing	75.6%			Does Not Meet 95%			270	
					•			
Science	73.3%			Does Not Meet 959	% Participation Rate	107	146	

formance Indicator Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poi					
Academic		or advanced was:				<u> </u>							
+	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16						
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	3	(4 for each	15									
1	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	content area)											
/	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	··· ·· ·· ··,											
	If the school meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median stu	dent growth percentil	e was:	Does Not Meet	t 1							
	• at or above 60.												
ļ	below 60 but at or above 45.												
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Meets Approaching	3	12									
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	35					
	If the school does not meet the median adequate stude	ent arowth percentile and its me	dian student arowth r	ercentile was:			content area)						
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	,						
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3							
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2							
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1							
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate st	udent arowth percentile and its	student arowth perce	ntile was:	Does not mee	۹ <u>+</u>		+					
l l	• at or above 60.		student growth perce.		Exceeds	4							
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3							
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	60						
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		(5 for each subgroup						
	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	quate student arowth percentile	and its student arow	th nercentile was:	Does not meet	4 -	group in 3 content						
Growth Gups	• at or above 70.	quate stadent growth percentile	and its student grow		Exceeds	4	areas)	15					
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	/ I						
	• below 70 but at or above 55. • below 55 but at or above 40. Approaching 2												
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1							
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				Does not meet	4 <u>+</u>		+					
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4							
	• above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3							
	at or above 65% but below 80%				Approaching	2							
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	-							
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				Does Not Meet	<u>ч</u> т	12						
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35					
orkforce Readiness					Meets	3	indicator)	55					
TRIOICE Reduilless					1	2	mulcator)						
	 at or below 10% but above the state average. at or above 10%. 				Approaching Does Not Meet								
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average	a Calarada ACT composito score			Does Not Mee	<u>ц т</u>							
	• at or above 22.	e colorado Acr composite score	wus.		Exceeds	4							
						3							
	• at or above the state average but below 22.				Meets	-							
	• at or above 17 but below the state average.				Approaching Does Not Meet	2 t 1							
	• at or below 17.					u 1							
	rformance indicator			n type assignment									
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the					the total Fra	mework points eligi						
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 609 				Performance					
· · · ·	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework					Improvemen					
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 339 	% - below 47%		Pi	riority Improver					
• t	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		 below 33% 				Turnaround					
ool plan type assign	nments												
	Plan description												
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a F	Performance Plan. A sch	nool may not impleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Turnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined tota					
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an		consecutive years befo	ore the District or Ir	nstitute is requir	ed to restruc	ture or close the sch	ool. The five					

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.