District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

School: DENVER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - 2185

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	82.1%	(12.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Exceeds	100.0%	(35 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	99.1%	(14.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	83.3%	(29.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
** Schools may not be aligible for all possible points on an incident		91.4%	(91.4 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08
Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators	Level: Middle School
School: DENVER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	(3 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	412	87.4%	89	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	412	78.2%	92	
Writing	3	4		Meets	412	79.1%	89	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	387	72	26	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	387	83	54	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	387	78	45	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	179	70	33	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	282	73	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	103	81	33	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	78	80	61	Yes
Mathematics	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	,	Exceeds	179	84	63	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	282	83	66	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	103	84	61	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	98	83	87	No
Writing	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	,	Exceeds	179	75	54	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	282	77	51	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	_
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	103	78	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	127	82	74	Yes
Total	48	48	100.0%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	412	412	
Mathematics	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	412	412	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	412	412	
Science	<u>-</u>	N/A	0	0	

erformance Indicators	Level: High School
chool: DENVER SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	(3 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	753	82.2%	82	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	752	53.5%	91	
Writing	3	4		Meets	752	66.2%	84	
Science	3	4		Meets	346	69.6%	88	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	658	65	12	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	657	85	78	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	658	77	40	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	20	20	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	273	64	21	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	428	64	18	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	34	69	56	Yes
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	174	69	22	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	127	70	70	Yes
Mathematics	20	20	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	272	81	94	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	427	82	89	No
Students w/ Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	34	81	99	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	174	82	83	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	280	83	99	No
Writing	19	20	95.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	272	75	60	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	427	74	57	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	34	68	96	No
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	173	78	60	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	248	79	85	No
Total	59	60	98.3%	Exceeds				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	3	4		Meets	165	89.1%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	1410	1.1%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	4	4		Exceeds	278	23.8	At/above State average
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	754	754	
Mathematics	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	753	754	
Writing	99.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	753	754	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	347	347	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	278	278	

Scoring Guide

Level: All Levels

rformance Indicator	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
rjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kating	Point value	TOTAL POSSIBLE	Framework Poli
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools. below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2	content area)	15
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1	content area;	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile w		ч -		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 45.	Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee		(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile		_	content area)	
G. G. T. T.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	content area,	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentil				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee		(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth p		group in 3 content	15	
·	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	,	
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		
	• below 40.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:	<u> </u>			
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approaching	2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:	<u> </u>		12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not Mee	t 1		
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		•		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approaching	2		
	• at or below 17.	Does Not Mee	t 1		1

Cut-Points for each performance indicator								
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.								
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds						
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets						
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching						
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet						

Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 60%	Performance					
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement					
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement					
	• below 33%	Turnaround					

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	·											
	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.