# School Performance Framework 2010

# School: VALDEZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 0408

Level: All Levels

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year\*\*\*)

## **Improvement Plan** (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

Rating/Plan

**Does Not Meet** 

Approaching

Approaching

95% Participation Rate Met

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

category lower than their points indicate.

**Test Participation\*\*** 

TOTAL

Academic Growth

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible\*

(7.2 out of 25 points)

(29.2 out of 50 points)

( 14.4 out of 25 points )

( 50.8 out of 100 points )

28.6%

58.3%

57.4%

50.8%

\* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one



Performance Indicat	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: VALDEZ ELEI	MENTARY SCHO	OL						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	337	29.1%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	336	33.6%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	336	18.4%	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	108	5.6%	1	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	166	55	67	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	198	35	67	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	166	54	75	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	4	001070	Meets	150	55	66	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	160	56	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	36	80	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	118	64	68	No
Students needing to catch up		4		Meets	130	56	71	No
Mathematics	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Does Not Meet	180	34	67	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	191	35	67	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	14	89	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	151	37	66	No
Students needing to catch up	<b>b</b> 1	4		Does Not Meet	122	35	80	No
Writing	12	20	60.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	151	54	74	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	160	55	74	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	27	87	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	118	56	74	No
Students needing to catch up	-	4		Meets	142	56	78	No
Total	30	60	50.0%	Approaching				-
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.5%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		383	393	
Mathematics	98.7%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		386	391	
Writing	97.5%	,		icipation Rate Met		384	394	
Science	98.4%			icipation Rate Met		125	127	

Performance Indicat	ors						l evel:	Middle Schoo
School: VALDEZ ELEI		OL						(3 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(0.000
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	86	41.9%	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	87	19.5%	4	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	87	41.4%	19	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	83	59	67	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	85	35	89	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	85	78	78	Yes
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Meets	76	62	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	80	60	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	65	61	67	No
Students needing to catch up	<b>b</b> 3	4		Meets	54	58	76	No
Mathematics	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	77	36	84	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	36	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	66	36	85	No
Students needing to catch up	<b>b</b> 1	4		Does Not Meet	59	36	96	No
Writing	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	4		Exceeds	77	79	77	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	82	79	77	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	66	80	78	Yes
Students needing to catch up		4		Exceeds	63	81	89	No
Total	32	48	66.7%	Meets				-
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.9%		95% Parti	cipation Rate Met		88	89	
Mathematics	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		89	89	
Writing	100.0%			cipation Rate Met		89	89	
Science				N/A		0	0	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels		
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points		
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t</li> </ul>	(4 for each	25							
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t</li> </ul>	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.								
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>	below the 15th percentile of all schools.								
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:						
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60. Exceeds 4								
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1				
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	% - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
School plan type a	assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of		
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef							
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•							
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,			

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.