# School Performance Framework 2010

# School: PRITCHETT MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7176

Level: Middle School

District: PRITCHETT RE-3 - 0240 (1 Year\*\*\*)

Turnaround Plan	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*	
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a	Academic Achievement		( out of points )	
percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.	Acadomic Growth		( out of points )	
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earned				
Performance at or above 59%	Academic Growth Gaps		( out of points )	
Improvement at or above 47% - below 59%				
Priority Improvement at or above 37% - below 47%	Test Participation** 95	% Participation Rate Met		
Turnaround below 37%				
Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.			( 0 out of 0 points )	
For schools with data on all indicators, the total		•	ifficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points of	earned ar
points possible are: 25 points for Academic		<i>i i</i>	do not meet the 05% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan two	ne one

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

#### Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps.

state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

## **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

School: PRITCHETT M		- 7176						(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(1 ) Cui
Reading	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Fotal	0	0						
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned		% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0						
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Vriting	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0	1	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up								

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	N/A	14	14	
Mathematics	100.0%	N/A	14	14	
Writing	100.0%	N/A	14	14	
Science	100.0%	N/A	3	3	

								Middle Schoo		
	mance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report								
erformance Indicator So					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point		
T	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient of		•							
	<ul> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>		Exceeds	4	16					
	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	•			Meets	3	(4 for each			
	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th</li> </ul>	n percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Meet	1				
<u>If</u>	f the school meets the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median stu	udent growth percenti	e was:	1	1				
_	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each			
Growth If	f the school does not meet the median adequate stude	ent growth percentile and its m	edian student growth	percentile was:			content area)			
_	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
_	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>		Approaching	2						
	• below 40.	1								
<u>lf</u>	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:									
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	_			
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
L	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60			
	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup			
Growth Gaps	f the student subgroup does not meet the median ade	quate student growth percentil	le and its student grow	th percentile was:	-		group in 3 content			
_	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
t-Points for each perfo				n type assignment						
	oint: The school earned of the points eligible on thi	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligit	ole.		
Achievement; • at o	or above 87.5%	Exceeds		<ul> <li>at or above 59%</li> </ul>	0			Performance		
· · ·	or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets Approaching	Total Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47%</li> </ul>	6 - below 59%			Improvement		
	or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	6 - below 47%		Pr .	iority Improveme					
• be	low 37.5%	Does Not Meet		<ul> <li>below 37%</li> </ul>				Turnaround		
hool plan type assignm	nents									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a P		hool may not impleme				•			
provement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an		consecutive years bef							
	an The school is required to adopt and implement a P		secutive school years of				, ,	all in which the		
Irnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a T	Turnaround Plan. scho	ool is notified that it is	required to implem	ent a Priority Im	provement	or Turnaround Plan.			

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.