District: WALSH RE-1 - 0230 (1 Year***)

Level: High School

School: WALSH HIGH SCHOOL - 9226

| | _ | | | |
|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| D | form | | _ D | |
| PPr | | anc | ם ב | ıan |
| | | | | |

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type

| scoring galac below to | determine the plan type. | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned | | | |
| Performance | at or above 60% | | | |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% | | | |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% | | | |
| Turnaround | below 33% | | | |
| Framework points | are calculated using the | | | |

percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating/Plan | % of Points | Earned out of Points Eligible* |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---|
| Academic Achievement | Meets | 75.0% | (11.3 out of 15 points) |
| Academic Growth | Meets | 66.7% | (23.3 out of 35 points) |
| Academic Growth Gaps | | | (out of points) |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Meets | 75.0% | (26.3 out of 35 points) |
| Test Participation** | 95% Participation Rate Met | | |
| TOTAL | | 71.6% | (60.9 out of 85 points) the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so |

scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates,





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: High School

School: WALSH HIGH SCHOOL - 9226

(1 Year***)

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Reading | 4 | 4 | | Exceeds | 22 | 95.4% | 97 | |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 22 | 40.9% | 69 | |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 22 | 45.4% | 38 | |
| Science | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <16 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Total | 9 | 12 | 75.0% | Meets | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 21 | 51 | 13 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 21 | 59 | 81 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 21 | 43 | 56 | No |
| Total | 8 | 12 | 66.7% | Meets | | | | |

| | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequate |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|--------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Growth Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Writing | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |

| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Rate/Score | Minimum State Expectation |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|--------|----|------------|---------------------------|
| Graduation Rate | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | | 80% |
| Dropout Rate | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 61 | 1.6% | At/below State average |
| Colorado ACT Composite | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | | At/above State average |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 75.0% | Meets | | | |

| Test Participation | % of Students Tested | Rating | Students Tested | Total Students | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Reading | 100.0% | 95% Participation Rate Met | 22 | 22 | |
| Mathematics | 100.0% | 95% Participation Rate Met | 22 | 22 | |
| Writing | 100.0% | 95% Participation Rate Met | 22 | 22 | |
| Science | 100.0% | N/A | 11 | 11 | |
| Colorado ACT | 100.0% | N/A | 12 | 12 | _ |

Scoring Guide

| Scoring Guide for Perfo | ormance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Performance Indicator | Scoring Guide | Rating | Point Value | Total Possible | Framework Points |
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: | • | | | |
| | at or above the 90th percentile of all schools. | Exceeds | 4 | 16 | |
| Academic | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools. | Meets | 3 | (4 for each | 15 |
| Achievement | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. | Approaching | 2 | content area) | |
| | below the 15th percentile of all schools. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: | | | | |
| | • at or above 60. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | Approaching | 2 | 12 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (4 for each | 35 |
| Growth | If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: | | | content area) | |
| | • at or above 70. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: | | | | |
| | • at or above 60. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | below 60 but at or above 45. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | Approaching | 2 | 60 | |
| Academic | • below 30. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | (5 for each subgroup | |
| Growth Gaps | If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was: | | | group in 3 content | |
| | • at or above 70. | Exceeds | 4 | areas) | |
| | below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 40. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was: | | | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | above 80% but below 90%. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | at or above 65% but below 80% | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • below 65%. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | 12 | |
| Postsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | Exceeds | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| Workforce Readiness | at or below the state average but above 1%. | Meets | 3 | indicator) | |
| | at or below 10% but above the state average. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or above 10%. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| | Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was: | | | | |
| | • at or above 22. | Exceeds | 4 | | |
| | at or above the state average but below 22. | Meets | 3 | | |
| | at or above 17 but below the state average. | Approaching | 2 | | |
| | • at or below 17. | Does Not Mee | t 1 | | |
| Cut-Points for each per | formance indicator Cut-Points for plan type assignment | | | | |

| Cut-Points for each performance indicator | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. | | | | | |
| Achievement; | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | | | |
| Growth; Gaps; | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | | | |
| Postsecondary | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | | | |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | | |

| Cut-Points for plan type assignment | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible. | | | | |
| | • at or above 60% | Performance | | | |
| Total Framework | • at or above 47% - below 60% | Improvement | | | |
| Points | • at or above 33% - below 47% | Priority Improvement | | | |
| | • below 33% | Turnaround | | | |

| School plan type assignments | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Plan description | | | |
| Performance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. | | | |
| Improvement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. | | | |
| Priority Improvement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. | | | |
| Turnaround Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. | | | |

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

| | Reading | | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 | |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 | |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 | |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 | |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

| | | Reading | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|-----------------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 |

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

| | N of Students | Mean Dropout Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

| | N of Students | Mean Score | | |
|------------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 | | |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 | | |

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

Level: Middle School
District: WALSH RE-1 - 0230 (1 Year***)

School: WALSH HIGH SCHOOL - 9226

Performance Plan (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 59% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 59% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 37% - below 47% |
| | |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

*Schools may not be eligible for all scores are not negatively impacted.

**Schools do not receive points for points indicate.

| Rating/Plan | % of Points Ea | rned out of Points Eligible* | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| Approaching | 58.3% | (14.6 out of 25 points) | |
| Does Not Meet | 33.3% | (16.7 out of 50 points) | |
| | | (out of points) | |
| 95% Participation Rate Met | | | |
| | 41.7% | (31.3 out of 75 points) | |
| | Approaching Does Not Meet | Approaching 58.3% Does Not Meet 33.3% 95% Participation Rate Met | Approaching 58.3% (14.6 out of 25 points) Does Not Meet 33.3% (16.7 out of 50 points) (out of points) 95% Participation Rate Met |

Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so cores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

Turnaround

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

below 37%

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10 Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (1 Year***) Performance Indicators

| School: | WALSH | HIGH | SCHOOL | - 9226 |
|---------|-------|------|---------------|--------|
|---------|-------|------|---------------|--------|

| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Reading | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 28 | 67.9% | 40 | |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 28 | 57.1% | 61 | |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 28 | 46.4% | 26 | |
| Science | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <16 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Total | 7 | 12 | 58.3% | Approaching | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | Made Adequate |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Median Growth Percentile | Median Adequate Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 28 | 27 | 23 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 28 | 51 | 65 | No |
| Writing | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 28 | 27 | 44 | No |
| Total | 4 | 12 | 33.3% | Does Not Meet | | | | |

| | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median Growth | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequate |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|--------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Writing | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| English Language Learners | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | N/A | <20 students | N/A | N/A | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |

| Test Participation | % of Students Tested | Rating | Students Tested | Total Students | |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Reading | 96.6% | 95% Participation Rate Met | 28 | 29 | |
| Mathematics | 96.6% | 95% Participation Rate Met | 28 | 29 | |
| Writing | 96.6% | 95% Participation Rate Met | 28 | 29 | |
| Science | 93.8% | N/A | 15 | 16 | |

| oring Guide | | | | | | Level: | Middle Schoo | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| oring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fra | mework Report | | | | | | | | | |
| rformance Indicator Scoring Guide | | | | Rating | Point Value | Total Possible | Framework Point | | | |
| The school's percentage of students scoring profici | ent or advanced was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | 16 | | | | |
| • below the 90th percentile but at or above the | 50th percentile of all schools. | | | Meets | 3 | (4 for each | 25 | | | |
| • below the 50th percentile but at or above the | 15th percentile of all schools. | | | Approaching | 2 | content area) | | | | |
| below the 15th percentile of all schools. | | | | | | | | | | |
| If the school meets the median adequate student g | growth percentile and its median stu | dent growth percenti | ile was: | | | | | | | |
| • at or above 60. | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | | |
| below 60 but at or above 45. | | | | Meets | 3 2 | | | | | |
| below 45 but at or above 30. | below 45 but at or above 30. Approaching | | | | | | | | | |
| Academic • below 30. | • below 30. Does Not Meet 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Growth If the school does not meet the median adequate s | If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was: | | | | | | | | | |
| • at or above 70. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | |
| below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | | | | |
| below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | | | | |
| • below 40. | | | | Does Not Meet | 1 | | | | | |
| If the student subgroup meets the median adequa | te student growth percentile and its : | student growth perce | entile was: | | | | | | | |
| • at or above 60. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | | | | | |
| below 60 but at or above 45. | | | Meets | 3 | 60 | | | | | |
| below 45 but at or above 30. | | | Approaching | 2 | | | | | | |
| Academic • below 30. | | | | Does Not Meet | 1 | (5 for each subgroup | | | | |
| Growth Gaps If the student subgroup does not meet the median | adequate student growth percentile | and its student grow | vth percentile was: | | | group in 3 content | | | | |
| • at or above 70. | | | | Exceeds | 4 | areas) | | | | |
| below 70 but at or above 55. | | | | Meets | 3 | | | | | |
| below 55 but at or above 40. | | | | Approaching | 2 | | | | | |
| • below 40. | | | | Does Not Meet | 1 | | | | | |
| t-Points for each performance indicator | | Cut-Points for pla | an type assignment | | | | | | | |
| Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible or | n this Indicator. | | Cut Point: The scho | ol earned of t | the total Fra | mework points eligib | ole. | | | |
| Achievement; • at or above 87.5% | • at or above 87.5% Exceeds • at or above 59% | | | | | | | | | |
| Growth; Gaps • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Total Framework | | | Improvement | | | | | |
| • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching Approa | Points | • at or above 379 | at or above 37% - below 47% | | | iority Improvemer | | | |
| • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 37% | | | | Turnaround | | | |
| nool plan type assignments | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plan description | | | | | | | | | | |

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

| | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| 15th percentile | 49.2 | 50.4 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 32.5 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 27.5 |
| 50th percentile | 71.6 | 71.4 | 73.3 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 33.5 | 53.5 | 57.8 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 89.1 | 88.2 | 87.2 | 89.3 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 72.2 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 72.4 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

| | 7 90 0 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|--------|------|------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|------|
| | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.0 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 48.7 | 29.7 | 13.5 | 32.6 | 36.8 | 30.0 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 27.9 |
| 50th percentile | 72.0 | 71.4 | 72.2 | 70.1 | 51.6 | 30.5 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 49.6 | 45.4 | 48.7 | 50.0 |
| 90th percentile | 88.2 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 87.5 | 74.4 | 52.2 | 76.5 | 79.2 | 71.0 | 72.6 | 71.3 | 71.5 |

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

| | N of Students | Mean Dropout Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

| | N of Students | Mean Score |
|------------------|---------------|------------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.