School Performance Framework 2010

School: AURORA QUEST ACADEMY - 7232

Level: All Levels

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year***)

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned					
Performance	at or above 59%					
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%					
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%					
Turnaround	below 37%					
Framework points are	e calculated using the					

percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25 out of 25 points)	-
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	87.5%	(21.9 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		88.6%	(88.6 out of 100 points)	
* Schools may not be eligible for all		ufficient numbers c	f students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicat	ors						Level: Ele	ementary Schoo
School: AURORA QL	JEST ACADEMY							(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	479	99.2%	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	479	100.0%	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	478	95.4%	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	200	97.5%	99	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
A and a min Crowth	Deinte Franced	Deinte Flinible	% Deinte	Datian	N	Madine Crowth Demonstile	Madian Adamsta Crowth Damastila	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u> </u>	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4 4	4 4		Exceeds	333	61	<u> </u>	Yes
Mathematics		4		Exceeds	333	75		Yes
Writing	3	· ·	04 70/	Meets	332	59	19	Yes
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
				.	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Exceeds	76	62	14	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	141	60	12	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	57	62	13	Yes
Students needing to catch up		0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	76	76	23	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	141	76	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	57	81	22	Yes
Students needing to catch up	0 c	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	76	68	21	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	141	61	18	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	4	4		Exceeds	57	64	21	Yes
Students needing to catch up	o 0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	36	36	100.0%	Exceeds				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d	Ra	ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		480	480	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		480	480	
Writing	99.8%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		479	480	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	tion Rate Met		200	200	

Performance Indicate							Leve	I: Middle Schoo
School: AURORA QU	EST ACADEMY							(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	434	99.8%	99	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	435	96.1%	99	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	435	97.0%	99	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	82	89.0%	98	
Total	16	16	100.0%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	432	53	9	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	433	52	22	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	432	48	21	Yes
Total	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Acadomic Growth Ganc	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Dating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	9			Rating	//	Percentile	Percentile	Growing
Reading	-	12	75.0%	Meets	110	50	44	Maa
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	118	52 57		Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets N/A	183	57 N/A	9	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4			<20 students 103	59	N/A	Vac
English Language Learners		0		Meets N/A	<20 students	59 N/A	N/A	Yes
Students needing to catch up	9	12	75.00/		<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	-		75.0%	Meets	110		25	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	118	50	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	184	53	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	103	50	24	Yes
Students needing to catch up		0	==	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	118	46	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	184	52	22	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	103	52	27	Yes
Students needing to catch up		0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	27	36	75.0%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	1		ting		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.8%		· · · ·	ition Rate Met		436	437	
Mathematics	100.0%		95% Participa	ition Rate Met		437	437	
Writing	100.0%		95% Participa	ition Rate Met		437	437	
Science	100.0%		95% Participa	ition Rate Met		82	82	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels			
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report									
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points			
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:									
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16				
Academic	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t 	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.									
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t 	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)				
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 										
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:							
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4					
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)				
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4					
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1					
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4					
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3					
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60				
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup				
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)				
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3					
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2					
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1					
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance			
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%										
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%		Pr	iority Improvemen							
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround			
School plan type a	assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of			
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef								
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•								
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,				

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.