### School Performance Framework 2010

# School: LITTLETON PREP CHARTER SCHOOL - 5233

Level: Elementary School

District: LITTLETON 6 - 0140 (1 Year\*\*\*)

#### **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	( 41.7 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	81.3%	( 20.3 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		80.8%	( 80.8 out of 100 points )	
Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insuf	ficient numbers	of students. In these cases, the points are re-	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### COE Improving Academic Achievement



#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato	rs						Level: El	ementary Scho
School: LITTLETON PF	REP CHARTER S	CHOOL - 523	3					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	177	84.8%	81	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	177	77.4%	64	
Writing	3	4		Meets	177	70.1%	81	
Science	3	4		Meets	58	67.2%	80	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	112	59	26	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	112	63	55	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	112	52	34	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Dating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
•	6	8	75.0%	Rating	/v	Percentile	Percentile	Growth
Reading	-	-	75.0%	Meets	22	50	24	N
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23 43	50 49	<u> </u>	Yes Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	<u> </u>	0		Meets N/A	<pre>43 </pre> <pre>43 </pre>	49 N/A	29 N/A	res
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
Mathematics	10	12	83.3%	Meets		N/A	N/A	
			03.370		22	50		Vaa
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3 4	4		Meets	23 43	59 62	57 59	Yes Yes
Minority Students Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		Exceeds N/A	<pre>43 </pre> <pre><pre>43</pre></pre>	N/A	59 N/A	res
-	0	0		N/A N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	30	56	66	No
Students needing to catch up	10	12	83.3%	Meets	50	50	00	NO
Writing			83.3%		22		20	Vaa
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	23 43	<u>62</u> 55	28	Yes Yes
Minority Students Students w/ Disabilities	3	0		Meets N/A	43 <20 students	55 N/A	42 N/A	res
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	34	59	53	Yes
Total	26	32	81.3%	Meets	54	55		163
Test Participation 9	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%				pation Rate Met	177	177	
Mathematics	100.0%				pation Rate Met	177	177	
Writing	100.0%				pation Rate Met	177	177	
Science	100.0%				pation Rate Met	58	58	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo		
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin		
erjormance mail	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:			Kuting	Foint Vulue		FIGHTEWORK FOIL		
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16			
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50	Oth percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement		•			Approaching	2	content area)			
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its medic	an student arowth percent	tile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stu	Ident growth percentile and i	its median student growth	n percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.									
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3						
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile ar	nd its student growth perc	centile was:						
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4				
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>									
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2	60			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	,		
Growth Gaps	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	dequate student growth perc	entile and its student gro	wth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25		
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)			
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>				Approaching	2				
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	1				
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: The schoo	l earned of th	ne total Fram	nework points eligibl	e.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance		
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	- below 59%			Improvement		
Ī	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37%	- below 47%		Pri	ority Improvemer		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround		
hool plan type a	assignments									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	· ·	a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total		
provement Pla			five consecutive years be				•			
iority Improven	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years	commences on July	1 during the sur	nmer immed	liately following the i	all in which the		
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement	a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it i	s required to implem	ent a Priority In	provement	or Turnaround Plan.			

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mea	n) Colorado ACT C	omposite Score		
	N of Students	Mean Score		
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0		
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1		

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

### School Performance Framework 2010

# School: LITTLETON PREP CHARTER SCHOOL - 5233

Level: Middle School

District: LITTLETON 6 - 0140 (1 Year\*\*\*)

#### **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	( 21.9 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	( 41.7 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		82.4%	( 82.4 out of 100 points )	
* Schools may not be eligible for all	possible points on an indicator due to insut	fficient numbers	of students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

COC Improving Academic Achievemen



#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato	ors						Leve	: Middle Scho
School: LITTLETON PI	REP CHARTER S	CHOOL - 523	3					(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	155	89.0%	91	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	155	67.7%	78	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	155	81.3%	92	
Science	3	4		Meets	42	54.8%	61	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	149	60	20	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	151	57	53	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	150	48	32	Yes
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Anndomia Crowski Como	Deinte Fruned	Dointo Elizible	% Deinte	Datian	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate Growth?
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	-	8	87.5%	Exceeds			20	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	30	62	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	58 <20 students	55 N/A	24	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	
Students needing to catch up	9	-	75.00/	N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	-	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	31	53	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	59	56	70	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	•
Students needing to catch up	4	4	6.6 <b>T</b> 0/	Exceeds	44	74	87	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	30	41	35	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	59	42	35	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	25	75	69	Yes
Total	24	32	75.0%	Meets				
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participa	ation Rate Met	155	155	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participa	ation Rate Met	155	155	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participa	ation Rate Met	155	155	
Science	100.0%			95% Participa	tion Rate Met	42	42	

coring Guide								Level:	Middle Schoo	
oring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report								
erformance Indic	ator Scoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:								
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.					Exceeds	4	16		
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50</li> </ul>	th percentile of all schools.				Meets	3	(4 for each	25	
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15	th percentile of all schools.				Approaching	2	content area)		
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>					Does Not Meet	1			
	If the school meets the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median	n student growth per	rcentile	e was:					
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4			
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	e 45.								
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	(4 for each	50	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:								
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4				
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>					Meets	3			
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2			
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	student growth percentile and	d its student growth	percer	ntile was:	-				
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4			
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	/e 45.								
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup		
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth perce	ntile and its student	growt	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)		
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>					Meets	3			
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2			
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1			
ut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points fo	or plar	n type assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on tl	nis Indicator.		0	Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	nework points eligit	ole.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 59%	6			Performance	
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Frame	work	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	-	• at or above 37%	6 - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		-	• below 37%				Turnaround	
hool plan type a	ssignments									
	Plan description									
erformance Plan	-	Performance Plan.	A school may not imi	pleme	nt a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	urnaround F	lan for longer than a	combined total of	
nprovement Plar			, ,		, ,			ture or close the sch		
•	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a									
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a					•		or Turnaround Plan.		

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.