#### School Performance Framework 2010

# Level: High School

District: STRASBURG 31J - 0060 (1 Year\*\*\*)

## School: PRAIRIE CREEKS CHARTER SCHOOL - 7133

# All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive a default plan type of Improvement Plan, unless their district indicates otherwise. Traditional schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator, matched to the scoring guide below.

Improvement Plan (Revised)

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Rating/Plan %	5 of Points Earned out of Points Eligible*	
	( out of points )	
	( out of points)	
	( out of points)	
i	( out of points)	
95% Participation Rate Met		
	( 0 out of 0 points )	I.
		( out of points ) 95% Participation Rate Met

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

#### What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.



#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores.

\*\*\* Data in this report is based on results from: Final plan type based on: SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: High Schoo
School: PRAIRIE CREEKS CHART	ER SCHOOL - 7	133						(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading					<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics					<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing					<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Science					<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total								
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading					<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics					<20 students	N/A		
Writing					<20 students	N/A		
Total								
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics								
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing						· · · · ·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up					<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total					20 010001113			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation	
Graduation Rate	0	0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N/A		114107 00010	80%	
Dropout Rate	0	0		N/A			At/below State average	
Colorado ACT Composite	ÿ						At/above State average	
Total	0	0						
Test Participation %	6 of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	o of oracents rester			nating		Statents rester	i otar stadents	
Mathematics								
Writing								
Science								
Colorado ACT								

		Le	vel: High Sch						
Point Valu	Point Value Total	Possible	Framework Po						
4		16							
3		or each							
ng 2		ent area)							
below the 15th percentile of all schools.     Does Not Meet     1     the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:									
4									
3									
ng 2		12							
eet 1	· ·	or each							
	conte	ent area)							
4									
3									
ng 2									
eet 1	1								
4									
3									
ng 2		60							
eet 1		ch subgrou							
	group in	n 3 content	:						
4	4 ar	reas)							
3	3								
ng 2	2	4							
eet 1	1								
4	4								
3	3								
ng 2	2								
eet 1	1	1							
		12							
4	4 (4 for e	each sub-							
3	3 indi	icator)							
ng 2	2								
eet 1	1								
4	4								
3	3								
ng 2	2								
eet 1	1								
of the total F	he total Framework p	noints elig	ible.						
		Jointo engi	Performance						
• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% Meets Total Framework • at or above 47% - below 60% Improvem • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching • at or above 37.5% - below 47% • below 47% Priority Improvem									
below 37.5% Does Not Meet • below 33% Turnaround									
		0							
		•	fall in which the						
lui su	ire im	ired to restructure or cl Immer immediately foll	Turnaround Plan for longer than ired to restructure or close the scl immer immediately following the mprovement or Turnaround Plan.						

# **Comparison Data**

# Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.