# School Performance Framework 2010

# School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 9431

Level: Elementary School

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (1 Year\*\*\*)

## **Performance Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based **A** on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	Aca
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	Tes
Turnaround	below 37%	
	are calculated using the earned out of points eligible.	то
For schools with data	a on all indicators, the total	* Scł
points possible are:	25 points for Academic	the p
Achievement, 50 for A	Academic Growth, and 25 for	** Sc

erformance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
cademic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	( 18.8 out of 25 points )	
cademic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	( 25 out of 50 points )	
cademic Growth Gaps			( out of points )	
est Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
DTAL		58.4%	( 43.8 out of 75 points )	
Schools may not be eligible for all		ifficient numbers o	of students. In these cases, the points are re	moved from both the points earned and

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

D

# Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicato							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: WESTGATE C				- <i></i>				(1 Year***
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	67	88.1%	87	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	67	88.1%	87	
Writing	3	4		Meets	67	70.2%	81	
Science	3	4		Meets	25	72.0%	85	
Total	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	33	30	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	33	34	29	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	33	42	32	Yes
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
Test Participation	% of Students Tested	1		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			95% Participation	Rate Met	68	68	
Mathematics	100.0%			95% Participation		68	68	
Writing	100.0%			95% Participation		68	68	
Science	100.0%			95% Participation		25	25	

coring Guide							Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Fran cator Scoring Guide	nework Report			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Point
erjonnunce man	The school's percentage of students scoring proficies	nt or advanced was:			nating	i onic value		Trainework Form
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 5	Oth percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievemen					Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its media	an student growth percent			-		
	• at or above 60.	·	<u> </u>		Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	12			
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate st	n percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.							
	• below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate	student growth percentile a	nd its student growth perc	centile was:				
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 60.						
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 60 but at or above 45.						
	• below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.			Does N			(5 for each subgrou	p
Growth Gap	s If the student subgroup does not meet the median a	ne student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:						
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>				Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
ut-Points for eac	ch performance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignment	•			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	this Indicator.		Cut Point: The school	l earned of tl	he total Fram	nework points eligib	le.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% -	- below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% -	- below 47%		Pr	iority Improvemen
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround
chool plan type a	assignments							
	Plan description							
erformance Plar		a Performance Plan.	A school may not implem	nent a Priority Improv	vement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	a combined total o
nprovement Pla			five consecutive years be	<i>i i</i>	-		•	
•	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement	•	consecutive school years					
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement		school is notified that it i		•		, ,	

## **Comparison Data**

## Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mea	State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score										
	N of Students	Mean Score									
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0									
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1									

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.

# School Performance Framework 2010

# School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 9431

Level: Middle School

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (1 Year\*\*\*)

## Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	Acad
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	Test
Turnaround	below 37%	
percentage of points	are calculated using the earned out of points eligible.	тот
points possible are	a on all indicators, the total : 25 points for Academic Academic Growth, and 25 for	* Scho the po ** Sch

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	( 16.7 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth			( out of points )	
Academic Growth Gaps			( out of points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		66.8%	( 16.7 out of 25 points )	
* Schools may not be eligible for all the points eligible, so scores are no		fficient numbers o	f students. In these cases, the points are rea	noved from both the points earned and

\*\* Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

# What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

Academic Growth Gaps.

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

# Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

## Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicate							Level	: Middle Scho
School: WESTGATE (	CHARTER - 9431							(1 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	19	63.2%	30	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	19	57.9%	62	
Writing	3	4		Meets	19	63.2%	61	
Science	0	0		N/A	<16 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Mathematics	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Writing	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A		
Total	0	0						
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Mathematics	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Writing	0	0						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Minority Students	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Total	0	0						
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%			N/A		19	19	
Mathematics	100.0%			N/A		19	19	
Writing	100.0%			N/A		19	19	
Science				N/A		0	0	

Scoring Guide								Level:	Middle Schoo
coring Guide for I	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report							
Performance Indic	ator Scoring Guide					Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	t or advanced was:							
	<ul> <li>at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>					Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50</li> </ul>	th percentile of all schools.				Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th</li> </ul>	th percentile of all schools.				Approaching	2	content area)	
	<ul> <li>below the 15th percentile of all schools.</li> </ul>					Does Not Meet	1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its media	an student grow	th percentil	e was:				
	<ul> <li>at or above 60.</li> </ul>					Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>					Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	• below 30.							
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	dent growth percentile and	its median stude	ent growth p	ercentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.					Meets	3		
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2		
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	student growth percentile a	nd its student gi	rowth percei	ntile was:				
	• at or above 60.					Exceeds	4		
	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>		Meets	3					
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>					Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.					Does Not Meet	1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median ad	equate student growth pero	centile and its st	tudent grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	
	• at or above 70.					Exceeds	4	areas)	
	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>					Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.					Approaching	2		
	• below 40.					Does Not Meet	1		
ut-Points for eac	n performance indicator		Cut-Po	pints for pla	n type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.					the total Fra	mework points eligit	le.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			• at or above 599	%			Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total	Framework	• at or above 479	% - below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		Points	• at or above 379	% - below 47%		Pr	iority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		-	• below 37%				Turnaround
School plan type a	ssignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan.	A school may r	not impleme	nt a Priority Impro	vement and/or	Furnaround F	Plan for longer than a	combined total of
mprovement Plan			A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructur					0	
	ent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a								
	The school is required to adopt and implement a					-		or Turnaround Plan.	

## **Comparison Data**

## Academic Achievement

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High Hi

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score							
	N of Students	Mean Score					
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0					
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1					

Science

# 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.