Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.0%	(17.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		63.4%	(63.4 out of 100 points)	

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: NORTHGLENN MIDDLE SCHOO	L - 6398
---------------------------------	----------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2005	60.8%	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2006	42.6%	31	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2002	46.6%	26	
Science	2	4		Approaching	628	40.1%	33	
Total	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1905	58	38	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1905	52	74	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1896	55	62	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	20	80.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1128	58	46	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	1009	60	45	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	207	45	82	No
English Language Learners	4	4	'	Exceeds	507	63	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	776	60	67	No
Mathematics	12	20	60.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1128	53	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1012	54	80	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	205	50	99	No
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	509	60	82	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1006	55	93	No
Writing	14	20	70.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1121	56	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1007	57	69	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	203	45	92	No
English Language Learners	3	4	'	Meets	504	63	74	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1000	59	82	No
Total	42	60	70.0%	Meets				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	2153	2159	
Mathematics 99.8%		95% Participation Rate Met	2152	2156	
Writing 99.6%		95% Participation Rate Met	2150	2159	
Science	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	680	684	

oring Guide							Level	Middle Scho
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						1-
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:				1 .		
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th		Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov		1					
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4				
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3				
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50			
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3					
	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	ntile was:						
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4					
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	60		
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median add	group in 3 content	25					
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3]	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment				
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ble.
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	<u>,</u>			Performance
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	Total Framework • at or above 47% - below 59%				Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	6 - below 47%		Pi	riority Improvem			
	• below 37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet						Turnaround
ool plan type a	ssignments							
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	hool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	ement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	Improvement Plan five	consecutive years befo	ore the District or In	stitute is reaui	red to restru	rture or close the sch	ool The five

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

		<u> </u>										
		Reading	Reading Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.