# **Improvement Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 6.3 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	( 25 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.7%	( 11.7 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		43.0%	( 43 out of 100 points )	

Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### **Academic Growth**

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

### **Academic Growth Gaps**

student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Level: Middle School (3 Year\*\*\*) Performance Indicators

School:	THORNTON I	MIDDLE SCHOOL -	5814
---------	------------	-----------------	------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	1891	35.9%	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1900	27.2%	11	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	1898	23.3%	5	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	659	22.9%	11	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1782	44	57	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1794	49	88	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1789	44	78	No
Total	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1463	43	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1433	45	58	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	192	34	89	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	917	46	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1129	45	70	No
Mathematics	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1476	48	90	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1441	49	88	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	195	43	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	925	50	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1230	50	96	No
Writing	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1471	44	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1440	45	79	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	194	34	95	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	922	47	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1322	44	85	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	2078	2098	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	2086	2097	
Writing	99.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	2085	2098	
Science	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	706	713	

oring Guide							Level:	Middle Scho
	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						-
formance Indic	ator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:				1 .		
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.				Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th		Meets	3	(4 for each	25		
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th		Approaching	2	content area)			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov		· ·					
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50		
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	percentile was:			content area)			
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3				
	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>		Approaching	2				
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1				
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	ntile was:			1			
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	60				
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3					
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2					
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup			
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	If the student subgroup does not meet the median add	group in 3 content	25					
	at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Mee	t 1		
-Points for each	performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	n type assignment				
C	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ol earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.
chievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 59%	ó			Performance
rowth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	6 - below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	% - below 47% Priority Impro			iority Improveme			
	• below 37.5%				Turnaround			
ool plan type a	ssignments							
	Plan description							
formance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	hool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	rement and/or	Turnaround I	Plan for longer than a	combined total
rovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement ar	Improvement Plan. five	consecutive years befo	ore the District or In	stitute is reaui	red to restru	cture or close the sch	ool. The five

Priority Improvement Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

Turnaround Plan

### **Comparison Data**

### **Academic Achievement**

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

						7 00 0 1						
	Reading Math				Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

### State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.