School Performance Framework 2010

School: CLAYTON PARTNERSHIP SCHOOL - 0509

Level: All Levels

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (3 Year***)

Turnaround Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(16.7 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.8%	(9 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		32.0%	(32 out of 100 points)	

* Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

** Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Performance Indicate	ors						Level: Ele	mentary Schoo
School: CLAYTON PA	ARTNERSHIP SCI	HOOL						(3 Year**
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	383	29.0%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	380	30.3%	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	380	17.4%	1	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	139	7.9%	3	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	239	41	56	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	242	37	73	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	238	33	68	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	-	4		Does Not Meet	173	38	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	173	42	60	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	34	47	81	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	91	50	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	160	45	71	No
Mathematics	7	20	35.0%	Does Not Meet				-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	34	74	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	37	74	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	40	92	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	92	44	73	No
Students needing to catch up		4		Does Not Meet	154	39	84	No
Writing	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible		4		Does Not Meet	169	35	70	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	173	37	70	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	29	86	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	39	75	No
Students needing to catch up		4		Does Not Meet	190	37	76	No
Total	21	60	35.0%	Does Not Meet				
Test Participation	% of Students Tester	d		Rating		Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.0%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		402	406	
Mathematics	99.2%		95% Part	icipation Rate Met		399	402	
Writing	99.0%			icipation Rate Met		399	403	
Science	97.3%			icipation Rate Met		143	147	

Performance Indicato		1001						Middle Schoo
School: CLAYTON PAR	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	(3 Year***
Reading	1	4	/0101113	Does Not Meet	340	40.3%	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	337	21.7%	6	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	339	23.6%	5	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	10.5%	3	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet		1010/7	-	
								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	313	43	54	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	310	36	90	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	312	37	77	No
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	210	43	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	219	45	56	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	53	85	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	48	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	193	48	69	No
Mathematics	6	20	30.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	208	37	92	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	217	38	92	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	25	99	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	101	41	91	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	235	35	94	No
Writing	6	20	30.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	209	38	78	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	218	38	78	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	37	93	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	44	82	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	238	38	85	No
v	22	60	36.7%	Does Not Meet				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	367	368	
Mathematics	98.9%	95% Participation Rate Met	365	369	
Writing	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	366	369	
Science	78.5%	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate	84	107	

Scoring Guide							L	evel: All Levels
Scoring Guide for	Performance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report						
Performance India	cator Scoring Guide				Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Points
-	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	or advanced was:						
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	16						
Academic	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	h percentile of all schools.			Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t 	h percentile of all schools.			Approaching	2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools. 				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student grov	wth percentile and its median stu	udent growth percentil	e was:				
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	12	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(4 for each	50
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate stud	lent growth percentile and its me	edian student growth p	percentile was:			content area)	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its	s student growth perce	ntile was:	-	-		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4		
	 below 60 but at or above 45. 				Meets	3		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 				Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps		equate student growth percentil	e and its student grow	th percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	areas)	
	 below 70 but at or above 55. 				Meets	3		
	 below 55 but at or above 40. 				Approaching	2		
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet	t 1		
Cut-Points for eac	h performance indicator		Cut-Points for pla	an type assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th	iis Indicator.		Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ole.
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 59%	%			Performance
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47%	% - below 59%			Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Pr	iority Improvemen					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%				Turnaround
School plan type a	assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a	Performance Plan. A sc	chool may not impleme	ent a Priority Improv	vement and/or 1	Furnaround P	lan for longer than a	combined total of
Improvement Plai	n The school is required to adopt and implement ar		consecutive years bef					
	nent Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a		•					
Furnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a		ool is notified that it is		•		, ,	

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010) Reading Math Writing Elem Middle High High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High

	Elem	Middle	High									
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

	Reading			Math			Writing Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score								
	N of Students	Mean Score						
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0						
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1						

Science

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.