Level: All Levels

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (3 Year\*\*\*)

School: MEADOW COMMUNITY SCHOOL - 0502

# **Priority Improvement Plan**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 6.3 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	33.3%	( 16.7 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	31.7%	( 7.9 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		30.9%	( 30.9 out of 100 points )	

the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

## What do the performance indicators measure?

#### **Academic Achievement**

state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

#### Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

#### **Academic Growth Gaps**

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





<sup>\*\*</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

# Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School

# School: MEADOW COMMUNITY SCHOOL

(3 Year\*\*\*)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	365	31.0%	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	369	28.2%	0	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	369	15.4%	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	115	5.2%	1	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	226	35	55	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	232	31	73	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	229	26	66	No
Total	3	12	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

			<u> </u>		Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	20	30.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	168	32	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	173	32	55	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	28	41	82	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	39	58	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	152	39	66	No
Mathematics	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	171	30	73	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	30	75	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	27	90	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	99	31	73	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	163	33	81	No
Writing	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	168	25	67	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	171	25	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	25	87	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	100	26	67	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	189	29	70	No
Total	16	60	26.7%	Does Not Meet				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	398	406	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	402	404	
Writing	99.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	402	406	·
Science	98.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	129	131	

Level: Middle School (3 Year\*\*\*) Performance Indicators

School:	MEADOW	COMMUNIT	Y SCHOOL
---------	--------	----------	----------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	339	30.4%	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	340	12.1%	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	339	20.1%	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	8.7%	2	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	316	40	59	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	316	31	92	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	317	42	81	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	20	40.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	225	37	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	244	43	59	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	25	91	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	118	42	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	224	42	66	No
Mathematics	5	20	25.0%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	222	29	92	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	244	31	93	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	30	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	36	92	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	258	32	96	No
Writing	9	20	45.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	224	46	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	247	45	82	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	30	96	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	121	51	82	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	256	43	84	No
Total	22	60	36.7%	Does Not Meet				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	375	381	
Mathematics	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	375	378	
Writing	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	376	381	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	107	107	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

rformance Indicat	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report or Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.		Meets	3	(4 for each	25
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.		Approaching	2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1			
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth gro	percentile was:		•		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	12		
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50	
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student	content area)				
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student grow	th percentile was:		•		
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	60	
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup	
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	nt growth percentile was:			group in 3 content	25
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1		
Points for each	performance indicator Cut-Point	s for plan type assignment				
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.	Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ble.
chievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds	• at or above 59%	6	<u> </u>		Performance
			/ I I =00/			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.							
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds					
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for plan type assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.							
	• at or above 59%	Performance						
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement						
Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement						
	• below 37%	Turnaround						

School plan type assignments								
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.							
<b>Priority Improvement Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.							

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

#### **Comparison Data**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

#### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>					
	Reading Math				Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

## 1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.