District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (3 Year***)

Level: All Levels

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - 0263

Priority Improvement Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.2%	(7.7 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	33.3%	(11.7 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation**	95% Participation Rate Met			
TOTAL		40.7%	(40.7 out of 100 points)	

scores are not negatively impacted

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT composite scores. subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or jobs upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates,





*** Data in this report is based on results from: 2009-10,2008-09,2007-08 Final plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY

(3 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	199	27.1%	0	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	202	37.6%	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	201	18.9%	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	8.6%	3	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	115	46	57	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	121	47	71	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	116	39	68	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	_	Approaching	100	46	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	87	43	63	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	69	39	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	83	46	77	No
Mathematics	8	20	40.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	_	Approaching	105	46	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	93	41	77	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	26	85	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	38	78	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	48	86	No
Writing	8	20	40.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	100	43	74	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	88	37	74	No
Students w/ Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	29	84	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	69	41	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	89	45	78	No
Total	23	56	41.1%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	97.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	219	224	
Mathematics	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	226	229	
Writing	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	224	227	
Science	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	78	79	

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	309	27.2%	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	309	18.1%	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	310	21.3%	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	117	9.4%	2	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	283	45	65	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	38	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	285	49	83	No
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	240	45	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	233	45	66	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4	'	Approaching	28	46	90	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	183	45	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	194	46	77	No
Mathematics	8	20	40.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	240	43	94	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	233	35	95	No
Students w/ Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	29	44	99	No
English Language Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	183	39	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	212	40	98	No
Writing	11	20	55.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	241	50	85	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	234	49	85	No
Students w/ Disabilities	3	4		Meets	29	58	95	No
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	183	50	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	213	52	89	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.8%	95% Participation Rate Met	335	339	
Mathematics	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	335	338	
Writing	99.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	336	339	
Science	99.2%	95% Participation Rate Met	127	128	

Level: High School (3 Year***) Performance Indicators

School:	GLOBAL	LEADERS	SHIP AC	CADEMY
---------	--------	---------	---------	--------

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	207	30.0%	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	205	8.3%	9	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	207	11.6%	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	92	9.8%	2	
Total	4	16	25.0%	Does Not Meet				

						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	178	55	80	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	175	43	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	179	58	97	No
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				

					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	142	56	82	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	148	56	82	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	117	58	84	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	135	55	89	No
Mathematics	8	16	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	141	42	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	144	43	99	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	2	4		Approaching	115	46	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	158	42	99	No
Writing	12	16	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,	Meets	143	59	97	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	148	58	97	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	3	4		Meets	117	59	97	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	158	59	98	No
Total	32	48	66.7%	Meets				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Minimum State Expectation
Graduation Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet	66	53.0%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching	596	9.7%	At/below State average
Colorado ACT Composite	1	4		Does Not Meet	57	15.4	At/above State average
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet			

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	231	234	
Mathematics	97.4%	95% Participation Rate Met	228	234	
Writing	98.7%	95% Participation Rate Met	231	234	
Science	98.1%	95% Participation Rate Met	101	103	
Colorado ACT	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	57	57	

Scoring Guide

Level: All Levels

erformance Indicator	rmance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report	Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin
erjormance maicator	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:	Kaung	Point value	Total Possible	Framework Poli
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.	Exceeds	4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.	Meets	3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 30th percentile of all schools. below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approach		content area)	15
Acinevement	below the 15th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools. below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not N	~	content area;	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student is		leet 1		
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30.	Approach		12	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N	<u> </u>	(4 for each	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median		-	content area)	
G. G. H. H.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	,	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approach			
	• below 40.	Does Not N			
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude				
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.	Approach	-	60	
Academic	• below 30.	Does Not N		(5 for each subgroup	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and			group in 3 content	15
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	areas)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approach	ng 2		
	• below 40.	Does Not N			
	Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate was:				
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4		
	• above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%	Approach	ng 2		
	• below 65%.	Does Not N			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			12	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1%.	Meets	3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average.	Approach	ng 2	,	
	• at or above 10%.	Does Not N			
	Average Colorado ACT Composite: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:		_		
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22.	Meets	3	1	
	at or above 17 but below the state average.	Approach		1	
	• at or below 17.	Does Not N	0	1	
t-Points for each per		Cut-Points for plan type assignment			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.							
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds					
Growth; Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets					
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 60%	Performance					
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement					
Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement					
	• below 33%	Turnaround					

school plan type assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.						

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9	
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0	
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.